

Supplementary Materials: Phenotypic Diversity of *Litsea cubeba* in Jiangxi China and the Identification of Germplasms with Desirable Characteristics

NO.	Supplementary materials name
Table S1	Geographical locations and climatic conditions of 27 provenances in Jiangxi province
Table S2	Variation analysis on phenotypic traits of <i>L. cubeba</i> among provenances
Table S3	Nested variance analysis of phenotype traits in <i>L. cubeba</i>
Table S4	CV of growth traits of <i>L. cubeba</i> within provenances
Table S5	CV of fruit phenotypic traits of <i>L. cubeba</i> within provenances
Table S6	CV of EO content and components of <i>L. cubeba</i> within provenances
Table S7	Multiple comparison on growth traits of <i>L. cubeba</i> between provenances
Table S8	Multiple comparison on fruit phenotypic traits of <i>L. cubeba</i> between provenances
Table S9	Multiple comparison on EO content and components of <i>L. cubeba</i> between provenances
Table S10	Path analysis of environmental factors for FFY of <i>L. cubeba</i>
Table S11	Path analysis of environmental factors for EO content of <i>L. cubeba</i>
Table S12	Path analysis of environmental factors for CitrP of <i>L. cubeba</i>
Table S13	Results on detrended correspondence analysis of environmental factors
Table S14	The summary results of interactive-forward-selection of redundancy analysis
Table S15	The results of forward selection in redundancy analysis
Table S16	Principal component analysis of phenotypic diversity of <i>L. cubeba</i>
Table S17	Statistics of D value of comprehensive score of single trees

Table S1: Geographical locations and climatic conditions of 27 provenances in Jiangxi province

NO.	Prove.	Abbr.	Longitude (°E)	Latitude (°N)	Elevation (m)	AAT (°C)	AAMaxT (°C)	AAMinT (°C)	AAR (mm)	AARH (%)	Samples for EO Extraction
1	Pingxiang	PX	113°48'	27°24'	527						
			113°47'	27°25'	420	18.1	41.3	-9.3	231.5	80	8
2	Lianhua	LH	113°57'	27°40'	165						
			114°00'	27°24'	797	18.2	41.1	-8.8	226.0	79	6
3	Yongxin	YX	114°01'	27°26'	770						
			114°06'	27°08'	361						
4	Suichuan	SC	114°07'	27°09'	262	18.3	42.0	-7.2	208.2	81	10
			114°13'	26°58'	278						
5	Anfu	AF	114°18'	26°25'	662						
			114°19'	26°20'	192	19.1	41.2	-6.0	222.3	78	0
6	Jian	JA	114°19'	27°23'	291						
			114°31'	27°26'	256	18.3	40.8	-7.3	210.5	79	7
7	Taihe	TH	114°28'	27°03'	356						
			114°28'	27°03'	168	19.1	40.9	-6.7	265.6	79	3
8	Wanan	WA	114°55'	26°30'	449	18.8	41.0	-6.9	247.0	80	5
			114°47'	28°50'	272	17.1	42.1	-12.1	315.9	80	3
9	Xiushui	XS	114°56'	28°58'	796	18.2	40.1	-12.8	310.1	80	3
			115°54'	29°31'	380	12.2	31.9	-16.7	363.4	78	0
10	Wuning	WN	115°51'	27°12'	155	18.0	40.7	-11.1	253.0	78	0
			116°11'	28°01'	146						
11	Fuzhou	FZ	116°11'	28°01'	153	17.9	41.0	-12.2	253.3	82	0
			116°49'	27°11'	149						
12	Lichuan	LC	117°00'	27°08'	303	18.5	42.2	-12.3	346.0	81	12
			114°28'	26°02'	390	19.3	40.0	-5.7	200.1	78	0
13	Quannan	QN	114°32'	24°50'	570	19.2	39.2	-6.8	214.6	81	8
			114°40'	25°24'	408	19.0	39.7	-7.2	189.3	80	7
14	Dayu	DY	114°40'	25°26'	408	19.9	40.0	-5.1	237.8	77	16
			114°51'	25°27'	255	19.8	39.9	-7.0	214.2	79	7
15	Xinfeng	XF	115°23'	25°41'	380	20.1	41.0	-5.0	251.6	75	6
			115°05'	26°26'	450	19.3	40.4	-6.3	276.1	77	0
16	Huichang	HC	115°56'	26°31'	478	19.0	40.2	-7.5	386.8	76	4
			116°13'	25°54'	620	19.6	40.4	-6.5	252.4	78	3
17	Yudu	YD	117°20'	29°36'	112	18.0	40.3	-9.4	350.1	80	6
			117°23'	27°56'	275	18.9	41.1	-9.3	319.3	76	10
18	Xingguo	XG	117°27'	28°57'	86	18.4	40.4	-13.4	334.2	78	7
			117°38'	28°06'	313	18.2	41.0	-9.5	338.8	77	4

NO., number; Prove., provenances; Abbr., abbreviation; AAT, annual average temperature; AAMaxT, annual average maximum temperature; AAMinT, annual average minimum temperature; AARH, annual average relative humidity; AAR, annual average rainfall. The numbers of samples for EO extraction from 6 provenances are 0, because individual trees from these provenances were not able to successfully extract EO, and only 141 trees (from 21 provenances) have data on the EO content and components.

Table S2: Variation analysis on phenotypic traits of *L. cubeba* among provenances

Traits	Mean±SE	Minimum value	Maximum value	CV (%)	Shannon–Wiener index (H')	phenotypic differentiation coefficient (V_{st})
TH (m)	4.90±0.08	1.60	12.00	36.70	2.652	29.42%
GD (mm)	5.39±1.49	2.50	35.00	63.29	2.030	29.29%
CW (m ²)	7.32±6.00	0.55	60.00	82.02	1.968	11.95%
FFY (kg)	1.06±0.09	0.07	19.50	190.84	0.002	10.48%
TSFW (g)	129.51±1.06	67.91	229.84	18.81	2.787	20.20%
TSDW (g)	47.93±0.45	34.96	70.04	21.42	2.810	10.31%
WC (%)	62.73±0.25	45.96	77.97	9.21	2.872	17.38%
PR (%)	79.36±0.18	67.16	90.45	5.06	2.847	16.66%
P:K	4.06±0.05	2.05	9.50	26.85	2.578	14.63%
EO content (%)	3.55±0.07	1.55	5.77	22.26	1.568	11.88%
CitrP (%)	75.23±0.29	66.19	85.62	4.61	1.553	10.73%
NeralP (%)	36.22±0.17	31.56	41.74	5.66	1.569	17.75%
GeranialP (%)	39.01±0.17	34.38	45.49	5.26	1.549	7.49%
4M3PP (%)	0.97±0.10	0.00	4.98	124.19	1.270	19.49%
1CCP4OP (%)	1.74±0.19	0.00	9.50	126.91	1.270	23.43%
SabP (%)	1.75±0.12	0.00	7.63	80.48	1.464	28.38%
D-LP (%)	6.93±0.23	0.83	14.75	40.09	1.552	9.52%
LinalP (%)	1.80±0.02	1.15	2.67	13.00	1.506	13.79%
CitroP (%)	2.99±0.20	0.00	9.71	80.98	1.374	27.06%
3,7-DP (%)	4.96±0.29	0.00	10.94	68.43	1.270	38.13%

TH, tree height; GD, ground diameter; CW, crown width; FFY, fresh fruit yield; TSDW, thousand seed dry weight; TSFW, thousand seed fresh weight; WC, water content; PR, pericarp ratio; P:K, percarp:kernel; EO content, essential oil content; CitrP, citral proportion; NeralP, the proportion of neral; GeranialP, the proportion of geranial; 4M3PP, the proportion of 4-methyl-3-pentenal; 1CCP4OP, proportion of 1-(cyclopanecarbonyl)Piperidin-4-one; SabP, the proportion of Sabinene; D-LP, the proportion of D-limonene; LinaP, the proportion of linalool; CitroP, the proportion of citronellal; 3,7-DP, the proportion of 3,7-dimethyl-3,6-octadienal. SE, standard error; CV, coefficients of variation, $CV = \delta/\bar{x} \times 100\%$. Shannon–Wiener index (H') was calculated by the method as described by Hamil et al. (2021); Phenotypic differentiation coefficient (V_{st}) among provenances was caculated by Minitab (version 19.0).

Table S3 Nested variance analysis of phenotype traits in *L. cubeba*

Traits	Mean square			F value	
	Within provenance	Among provenances	Random errors	Within provenance	Among provenances
TH (m)	3.534	20.878	2.234	1.582*	9.347**
GD (mm)	912.724	7471.747	827.718	1.103	9.027**
CW (m ²)	37.515	118.912	31.295	1.199	3.800**
FFY (kg)	3.392	12.007	3.712	0.914	3.235**
TSFW (g)	504.343	2815.821	475.554	1.061	5.921**
TSDW (g)	177.661	306.113	89.483	1.985**	3.421**
WC (%)	33.228	140.933	27.434	1.211	5.137**
PR (%)	10.812	65.814	13.699	0.789	4.804**
P:K	1.001	4.413	1.024	0.977	4.309**
EO content (%)	1.037	1.047	0.484	2.142*	2.163**
CitrP (%)	59.739	16.279	4.408	13.552**	3.693**
NeralP (%)	24.769	7.894	0.557	44.477**	14.176**
GeranalP (%)	8.576	4.870	3.456	2.481**	1.409
4M3PP (%)	0.964	3.077	1.200	0.803	2.563**
1CCP4OP (%)	2.788	11.615	3.927	0.710	2.957**
SabP (%)	1.612	6.871	1.103	1.461	6.227**
D-LP (%)	23.379	12.007	4.655	5.022**	2.580**
LinalP (%)	0.052	0.139	0.040	1.311	3.497**
CitroP (%)	8.517	15.375	3.657	2.329**	4.205**
3,7-DP (%)	12.304	38.384	6.289	1.956*	6.103**

TH, tree height; GD, ground diameter; CW, crown width; FFY, fresh fruit yield; TSDW, thousand seed dry weight; TSFW, thousand seed fresh weight; WC, water content; PR, pericarp ratio; P:K, pericarp:kernel; EO content, essential oil content; CitrP, citral proportion; NeralP, the proportion of neral; GeranalP, the proportion of geranal; 4M3PP, the proportion of 4-methyl-3-pentenal; 1CCP4OP, proportion of 1-(cyclopanecarbonyl)Piperidin-4-one; SabP, the proportion of Sabinene; D-LP, the proportion of D-limonene; LinalP, the proportion of linalool; CitroP, the proportion of citronellal; 3,7-DP, the proportion of 3,7-dimethyl-3,6-octadienal; * indicates that there is significant difference at 0.05 level; ** indicates that there is significant difference at 0.01 level.

Table S4 CV of growth traits of *L. cubeba* within provenances

Provenance	TH (m)	GD (mm)	CW (m²)	Mean
PX	29.49%	73.89%	102.51%	68.63%
LH	25.93%	24.97%	62.61%	37.84%
YX	33.25%	49.94%	81.92%	55.04%
SC	28.52%	25.63%	51.70%	35.28%
AF	20.05%	24.46%	66.42%	36.98%
JA	17.79%	23.86%	47.00%	29.55%
TH	12.96%	14.03%	51.66%	26.22%
WA	19.28%	53.54%	53.27%	42.03%
XS	33.10%	37.00%	57.27%	42.46%
WN	31.96%	26.56%	69.29%	42.60%
LS	39.00%	67.70%	93.75%	66.82%
LA	20.19%	17.86%	57.94%	32.00%
FZ	32.65%	33.92%	70.16%	45.58%
LC	30.57%	39.21%	56.47%	42.08%
SY	37.42%	48.72%	44.71%	43.62%
QN	33.34%	45.02%	61.66%	46.67%
DY	27.29%	55.80%	75.26%	52.78%
XF	25.12%	38.88%	50.92%	38.31%
HC	31.81%	26.83%	62.05%	40.23%
YD	22.01%	26.58%	63.71%	37.43%
XG	25.64%	18.71%	60.39%	34.91%
ND	22.08%	22.50%	39.54%	28.04%
RJ	56.72%	54.68%	79.93%	63.78%
FL	23.62%	26.58%	40.44%	30.21%
GX	36.19%	66.41%	92.86%	65.15%
LP	31.26%	49.63%	79.81%	53.57%
YS	36.99%	68.60%	84.11%	63.23%
Mean	29.05%	39.32%	65.09%	44.49%

TH, tree height; GD, ground diameter; CW, crown width.

Table S5 CV of fruit phenotypic traits of *L. cubeba* within provenances

Provenance	FFY (kg·tree ⁻¹)	TSFW (g)	TSDW (g)	WC (%)	PR (%)	P:K	Mean
PX	268.32%	15.98%	17.71%	6.99%	5.19%	28.45%	57.11%
LH	47.40%	19.01%	20.38%	8.34%	4.76%	27.61%	21.25%
YX	89.43%	14.95%	26.96%	14.34%	3.61%	21.99%	28.55%
SC	63.69%	10.38%	17.14%	4.95%	2.56%	13.89%	18.77%
AF	110.33%	17.71%	20.92%	9.34%	5.95%	30.53%	32.46%
JA	46.67%	14.62%	17.76%	10.82%	4.29%	25.09%	19.88%
TH	63.71%	15.37%	22.89%	8.04%	4.01%	15.16%	21.53%
WA	55.27%	14.55%	18.12%	11.78%	5.51%	29.76%	22.50%
XS	80.60%	18.78%	18.91%	5.95%	5.22%	27.80%	26.21%
WN	97.45%	22.84%	27.23%	8.07%	5.53%	35.16%	32.71%
LS	102.44%	11.53%	20.37%	11.09%	5.91%	36.28%	31.27%
LA	90.56%	19.08%	20.01%	7.72%	3.26%	16.39%	26.17%
FZ	67.44%	16.21%	20.06%	7.56%	3.51%	19.95%	22.45%
LC	90.59%	17.88%	25.13%	8.11%	4.01%	19.72%	27.57%
SY	44.23%	17.01%	19.77%	7.61%	3.81%	25.97%	19.73%
QN	63.60%	17.30%	18.11%	9.18%	4.20%	23.57%	22.66%
DY	231.75%	20.61%	25.65%	7.82%	5.11%	25.59%	52.76%
XF	64.45%	12.88%	16.31%	6.94%	4.97%	20.88%	21.07%
HC	79.99%	14.91%	19.97%	7.68%	4.43%	23.01%	25.00%
YD	67.39%	20.01%	17.21%	7.33%	4.92%	22.03%	23.15%
XG	37.27%	22.74%	18.98%	8.83%	6.60%	33.35%	21.30%
ND	45.49%	19.41%	23.24%	10.63%	4.39%	25.10%	21.38%
RJ	79.25%	13.52%	14.43%	8.54%	4.35%	21.94%	23.67%
FL	86.83%	16.71%	21.09%	5.87%	3.83%	26.41%	26.79%
GX	137.10%	15.67%	19.22%	6.15%	4.49%	22.71%	34.22%
LP	162.78%	16.81%	15.09%	6.06%	4.21%	21.99%	37.82%
YS	120.16%	14.49%	15.49%	6.56%	4.53%	22.23%	30.58%
Mean	92.38%	16.70%	19.93%	8.23%	4.56%	24.54%	27.72%

FFY, fresh fruit yield; TSFW, thousand seed fresh weight; TSDW, thousand seed dry weight; WC, water content; PR, pericarp ratio; P:K, percarp:kernel.

Table S6 CV of EO content and components of *L. cubeba* within provenances

Provenance	EO content (%)	CitrP (%)	NeralP (%)	GeranalP (%)	4M3PP (%)	1CCP4OP (%)	SabP (%)	D-LP (%)	LinalP (%)	CitroP (%)	3,7-DP (%)	Mean
PX	30.37%	5.58%	7.35%	5.09%	119.40%	119.89%	76.96%	52.27%	12.73%	61.75%	79.17%	51.87%
LH	16.44%	2.82%	5.19%	6.34%	105.59%	93.29%	—	33.41%	16.59%	48.34%	15.60%	31.24%
YX	14.22%	4.15%	5.37%	4.30%	79.63%	95.54%	36.63%	40.18%	7.92%	14.25%	10.67%	28.44%
AF	14.17%	2.71%	3.60%	2.13%	56.62%	55.50%	72.92%	19.33%	5.28%	27.36%	26.92%	26.05%
JA	5.37%	0.73%	0.48%	1.34%	—	—	21.35%	8.84%	4.13%	36.45%	17.58%	8.75%
TH	12.40%	1.94%	1.55%	3.79%	—	—	245.00%	10.49%	9.56%	21.10%	15.83%	29.24%
WA	19.96%	6.05%	5.98%	7.39%	175.50%	174.32%	85.32%	36.50%	2.34%	31.93%	18.08%	51.22%
XS	11.24%	2.48%	1.05%	5.74%	62.77%	74.82%	26.89%	39.84%	1.76%	18.28%	16.71%	23.78%
WN	16.55%	2.41%	2.22%	4.53%	173.23%	173.21%	97.97%	17.61%	2.76%	20.72%	18.76%	48.18%
LC	23.05%	5.08%	5.72%	5.90%	117.71%	116.31%	98.76%	32.58%	18.29%	74.13%	99.48%	54.27%
QN	23.29%	5.88%	6.36%	5.61%	62.78%	55.85%	48.72%	32.48%	8.19%	110.88%	76.12%	39.65%
DY	16.51%	2.93%	3.62%	3.71%	52.75%	54.20%	41.29%	38.00%	11.13%	38.39%	264.58%	47.92%
XF	22.16%	4.81%	6.71%	4.78%	112.02%	107.65%	32.22%	35.43%	9.23%	59.55%	134.04%	48.05%
HC	27.43%	3.80%	3.60%	4.98%	88.18%	89.00%	52.74%	31.30%	3.93%	100.38%	78.52%	43.99%
YD	22.57%	3.65%	4.72%	3.18%	68.93%	69.18%	38.14%	40.27%	8.13%	84.71%	76.72%	38.20%
ND	42.56%	4.83%	4.09%	6.12%	182.56%	184.48%	73.69%	93.74%	18.84%	34.90%	41.69%	62.50%
RJ	22.64%	3.28%	1.68%	4.75%	90.95%	90.44%	29.28%	14.33%	7.73%	50.49%	12.54%	29.83%
FL	7.81%	4.69%	2.09%	7.19%	208.50%	173.19%	36.46%	34.51%	6.15%	79.50%	16.29%	52.40%
GX	19.89%	4.43%	5.06%	5.92%	86.10%	86.61%	44.57%	28.64%	11.10%	45.16%	152.16%	44.51%
LP	7.12%	6.55%	6.95%	6.60%	264.57%	264.58%	131.43%	65.12%	17.35%	66.93%	9.54%	76.98%
YS	17.89%	3.82%	4.93%	2.88%	115.56%	115.53%	6.58%	16.57%	6.71%	11.76%	5.24%	27.95%
Mean	18.74%	3.93%	4.21%	4.87%	105.87%	104.46%	61.76%	34.35%	9.04%	49.38%	56.49%	41.19%

EO content, essential oil content; CitrP, citral proportion; NeralP, the proportion of neral; GeranalP, the proportion of geranal; 4M3PP, the proportion of 4-methyl-3-pentenol; 1CCP4OP, proportion of 1-(cyclopanecarbonyl)Piperidin-4-one; SabP, the proportion of Sabinene; D-LP, the proportion of D-limonene; LinalP, the proportion of linalool; CitroP, the proportion of citronellal; 3,7-DP, the proportion of 3,7-dimethyl-3,6-octadienal.

Table S7 Multiple comparison on growth traits of *L. cubeba* between provenances

Provenance	TH (m)	GD (mm)	CW (m ²)
PX	3.40±0.20fg	35.12±5.19h	4.72±0.97g
LH	3.12±0.16g	28.04±1.40h	4.19±0.52g
YX	5.05±0.35cde	48.61±5.06efgh	8.00±1.37cdefg
SC	5.20±0.66bcde	51.80±5.94defgh	8.80±2.03bcdefg
AF	3.74±0.15efg	40.50±2.02gh	4.97±0.67g
JA	5.02±0.25cde	46.77±3.09efgh	6.50±0.85defg
TH	5.17±0.17bcde	50.88±1.78defgh	7.38±0.95defg
WA	6.11±0.27b	69.79±8.57bcd	10.84±1.33abc
XS	5.15±0.49bcde	85.83±9.17b	8.42±1.39bcdefg
WN	4.10±0.44efg	69.44±6.15bcd	6.68±1.54defg
LS	4.24±0.52def	81.50±17.45b	10.80±3.20abcd
LA	4.25±0.27def	41.10±2.32fgh	4.80±0.88g
FZ	3.06±0.27g	30.93±2.80h	3.86±0.72g
LC	5.06±0.35cde	57.54±5.18cdef	9.05±1.17bcdef
SY	5.92±0.99bc	69.00±15.03bcd	5.88±1.17fg
QN	5.55±0.36bc	45.95±4.06fgh	7.46±0.90defg
DY	5.80±0.28bc	40.59±4.00gh	8.11±1.08bcdefg
XF	4.20±0.19ef	28.67±2.03h	5.99±0.56fg
HC	5.50±0.36bc	53.71±2.94defg	9.66±1.22abcde
YD	7.07±0.30a	71.69±3.67bc	7.38±0.91defg
XG	4.02±0.46efg	50.00±4.18defgh	3.06±0.83g
ND	5.33±0.25bcd	53.70±2.52defg	5.36±0.44g
RJ	4.96±0.60cde	58.41±6.81cdef	6.16±1.05fg
FL	4.22±0.20ef	45.213±2.45fgh	4.41±0.36g
GX	5.96±0.38b	71.83±8.43bc	11.24±1.84ab
LP	3.89±0.25efg	53.58±5.43defg	6.48±1.06efg
YS	5.51±0.41bc	109.80±15.07a	12.68±2.13a

TH, tree height; GD, ground diameter; CW, crown width; Different small letters in the Fig. indicate that there is a significant difference in the same index between the provenances ($P<0.05$).

Table S8 Multiple comparison on fruit phenotype traits of *L. cubeba* between provenances

Provenance	FFY ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{tree}^{-1}$)	TSFW (g)	TSDW (g)	WC (%)	PR (%)	P:K
PX	1.38±0.74bc	122.50±3.92c	42.23±1.50ef	65.34±0.91ab	79.39±0.82cde	4.08±0.23cd
LH	0.36±0.03c	130.81±4.97bc	43.63±1.78ef	66.42±1.11a	78.57±0.75cdef	3.84±0.21cde
YX	0.94±0.17bc	129.99±4.05bc	49.10±2.76bcdef	62.16±1.86cde	81.31±0.61abc	4.51±0.21bc
SC	0.77±0.22c	147.03±6.82ab	51.36±3.94bcde	65.21±1.44abc	82.04±0.94abc	4.64±0.29abc
AF	0.99±0.22bc	109.30±3.87de	41.54±1.74f	61.86±1.16cde	77.36±0.92efg	3.63±0.22def
JA	0.38±0.05c	122.49±4.97cde	46.64±2.30cdef	61.58±1.85cde	79.75±0.95cde	4.10±0.29bcd
TH	0.77±0.12c	127.16±4.89bc	55.15±3.16ab	56.93±1.14g	76.35±0.76fg	3.31±0.13ef
WA	0.61±0.08c	138.63±4.63abc	57.44±2.39a	58.24±1.57efg	79.53±1.01cde	4.15±0.28bcd
XS	0.72±0.17c	136.29±7.39bc	45.85±2.50def	66.13±1.14ab	80.12±1.21bcd	4.27±0.34bcd
WN	0.85±0.28bc	144.14±10.97ab	52.08±4.73abcd	63.99±1.72abcd	79.68±1.47bcde	4.21±0.49bcd
LS	0.49±0.16c	146.11±5.33ab	50.97±3.28bcde	64.89±2.28abc	80.26±1.50bcd	4.41±0.51bc
LA	0.54±0.15c	125.47±7.57bc	50.86±3.22bcde	59.33±1.45defg	77.51±0.80defg	3.56±0.18def
FZ	0.27±0.05c	136.78±5.92bc	49.39±2.65bcdef	63.81±1.29abcd	82.31±0.77ab	4.84±0.26ab
LC	2.21±0.46ab	127.66±5.24bc	49.29±2.84bcdef	61.59±1.15cde	78.57±0.72cdef	3.78±0.17cde
SY	0.38±0.08c	139.03±10.58abc	44.28±3.92def	67.99±2.31a	84.38±1.44a	5.65±0.66a
QN	0.63±0.08c	129.23±4.38bc	45.11±1.60def	64.68±1.16abc	79.08±0.65cde	3.93±0.18cde
DY	1.47±0.60bc	132.60±4.83bcd	50.66±2.30bcde	61.92±0.86cde	78.67±0.71cde	3.90±0.18cde
XF	0.57±0.07c	120.77±2.84cd	46.24±1.38def	61.67±0.78cde	79.45±0.72cde	4.03±0.15cde
HC	0.68±0.11c	129.25±3.93bc	48.27±1.97cdef	62.64±0.98bcde	80.05±0.72bcd	4.19±0.20bcd
YD	0.571±0.07c	103.14±3.97e	43.28±1.43ef	57.75±0.81fg	74.93±0.71g	3.09±0.13f
XG	0.24±0.04c	137.44±13.98abc	50.29±4.27bcdef	62.97±2.49abcde	79.11±2.34cde	4.06±0.61cde
ND	0.46±0.04c	121.32±4.71cd	47.68±2.22cdef	60.48±1.29cdef	79.14±0.69cde	3.95±0.20cde
RJ	0.55±0.09c	118.57±3.42cd	44.59±1.37def	62.08±1.13cde	78.84±0.73cde	3.86±0.18cde
FL	0.64±0.11c	149.52±5.10a	49.64±2.14bcdef	66.86±0.80a	83.34±0.65a	5.26±0.28a
GX	2.65±0.64a	132.64±3.67bc	46.53±1.58def	64.90±0.71abc	79.43±0.63cde	4.08±0.16cd
LP	2.14±0.71ab	153.55±5.27a	53.04±1.63abc	65.12±0.81abc	81.04±0.70abc	4.45±0.20bc
YS	2.89±0.69a	137.08±3.97bc	51.57±1.60bcd	62.22±0.82cde	79.52±0.72cde	4.04±0.18cde

FFY, fresh fruit yield; TSDW, thousand seed dry weight; TSFW, thousand seed fresh weight; WC, water content; PR, pericarp ratio; P:K, percarp:kernel; Different small letters in the Fig. indicate that there is a significant difference in the same index between the provenances ($P<0.05$).

Table S9 Multiple comparison of EO content and components of *L. cubeba* between provenances

Provenances	EO content (%)	CitrP (%)	NeralP (%)	GeranialP (%)	4M3PP (%)	1CCP4OP (%)	SabP (%)	D-LP (%)	LinalP (%)	CitroP (%)	3,7-DP (%)
PX	3.15±0.34bc	73.98±1.46bc	35.89±0.93bc	38.09±0.69c	0.97±0.41cde	1.74±0.74bcd	0.98±0.27efg	7.72±1.43abc	2.07±0.09a	4.10±0.90abcd	4.16±1.16defg
LH	2.56±0.17c	75.76±0.87bc	34.91±0.74cd	40.86±1.06cd	0.43±0.18de	0.86±0.33d	—	6.25±0.85bcd	1.67±0.11cd	1.92±0.38cd	7.34±0.47abc
YX	3.21±0.14bc	74.48±0.98bc	35.48±0.6bcd	39.01±0.53a	0.64±0.16de	0.80±0.24d	2.51±0.29bc	6.06±0.77cd	1.80±0.05bcd	1.72±0.08e	8.11±0.27ab
AF	3.33±0.18abc	73.97±0.76bc	35.72±0.49bc	38.24±0.31ab	0.83±0.18cde	1.52±0.32cd	0.79±0.22efg	8.95±0.65ab	1.82±0.04bcd	2.53±0.26de	6.85±0.70abcde
JA	3.95±0.12ab	75.6±0.32bc	35.95±0.10bc	39.64±0.31a	—	—	1.79±0.22cde	7.20±0.37abcd	2.13±0.05a	3.00±0.63bcde	7.09±0.72abcde
TH	3.39±0.17abc	74.62±0.59bc	36.23±0.23bc	38.39±0.59ab	—	—	0.10±0.10g	7.45±0.32abcd	2.12±0.08a	1.86±0.16e	9.29±0.60a
WA	3.46±0.31abc	74.62±2.02bc	36.09±0.96bc	38.53±1.27ab	0.50±0.39de	0.91±0.71d	3.24±1.24b	7.95±1.30abc	1.64±0.02d	0.66±0.09e	4.93±0.4cdefg
XS	2.95±0.19bc	74.61±1.07bc	35.80±0.22bc	38.81±1.29ab	1.11±0.40bcde	1.89±0.82bcd	1.69±0.26cdef	8.60±1.98abc	1.58±0.02d	2.03±0.21de	6.39±0.62abcde
WN	2.85±0.27bc	72.57±1.01c	32.68±0.42d	39.89±1.04a	0.19±0.19de	0.35±0.35d	0.58±0.33efg	7.37±0.75abcd	1.94±0.03abc	1.49±0.18e	5.10±0.55cdefg
LC	3.57±0.24ab	72.64±1.06c	35.00±0.58cd	37.65±0.64ab	1.05±0.36cde	1.94±0.65bcd	1.91±0.55cde	9.27±0.87a	1.80±0.10bcd	4.07±0.87bcd	3.94±1.13efg
QN	3.53±0.29abc	75.17±1.56bc	36.95±0.83b	38.22±0.76ab	2.43±0.54a	4.88±0.96a	2.50±0.43bc	6.55±0.75bcd	1.65±0.05d	2.55±1.00de	1.73±0.46gh
DY	3.98±0.25a	76.31±0.84b	37.32±0.51ab	38.99±0.55b	1.80±0.36abc	3.39±0.70abc	1.74±0.27cde	5.68±0.81cd	1.80±0.08bcd	6.04±0.88a	0.98±0.98h
XF	4.09±0.23a	76.22±0.92b	36.94±0.62b	39.29±0.47a	1.35±0.38bcd	2.38±0.64bcd	1.67±0.13def	6.15±0.54cd	1.78±0.04bcd	4.88±0.73ab	2.84±0.95fgh
HC	3.55±0.37ab	76.63±1.10ab	37.05±0.50b	39.58±0.75a	1.09±0.36bcde	2.09±0.70bcd	2.64±0.53bc	6.45±0.76bcd	1.79±0.03bcd	2.87±1.09cde	4.09±1.21defg
YD	3.99±0.37a	75.20±1.12bc	35.96±0.69bc	39.25±0.51a	0.81±0.23cde	1.43±0.40cd	2.91±0.45bc	7.25±1.19abcd	1.70±0.06cd	2.97±1.03bcde	5.12±1.6cdef
ND	3.60±0.77ab	80.61±1.95a	39.57±0.81a	41.05±1.26a	1.12±1.02bcde	2.42±2.24bcd	1.93±0.71bc	4.09±1.92d	1.74±0.16bcd	0.69±0.12e	4.47±0.93cdefg
RJ	3.54±0.46abc	74.5±1.41bc	35.52±0.34bcd	38.99±1.07ab	0.21±0.11de	0.38±0.20d	5.02±0.85a	7.71±0.64abcd	1.64±0.07d	0.94±0.27e	6.27±0.45abcde
FL	3.65±0.12ab	77.45±1.48ab	37.16±0.32ab	40.28±1.18a	0.33±0.28de	0.28±0.20d	1.12±0.17defg	5.76±0.81cd	1.65±0.04d	2.17±0.70de	5.87±0.39bcde
GX	3.67±0.23ab	75.67±1.06bc	36.87±0.59b	38.80±0.73ab	2.01±0.55ab	3.46±0.95ab	2.02±0.29cd	5.18±0.47cd	1.85±0.07bc	4.60±0.66abc	2.36±1.14gh
LP	3.46±0.09abc	75.05±1.86bc	35.91±0.94bc	39.14±0.98a	0.07±0.07e	0.12±0.12d	0.29±0.14fg	8.42±2.07abc	1.96±0.13ab	1.33±0.34e	8.47±0.31ab
YS	4.19±0.37a	75.55±1.44bc	36.05±0.89bc	39.50±0.57a	0.29±0.17de	0.42±0.24d	2.41±0.08bcd	6.27±0.52bcd	1.63±0.05d	1.64±0.10e	7.33±0.19abcd

EO content, essential oil content; CitrP, citral proportion; NeralP, the proportion of neral; GeranialP, the proportion of geranial; 4M3PP, the proportion of 4-methyl-3-pentenal; 1CCP4OP, proportion of 1-(cyclopancarbonyl)Piperidin-4-one; SabP, the proportion of Sabinene; D-LP, the proportion of D-limonene; LinalP, the proportion of linalool; CitroP, the proportion of citronellal; 3,7-DP, the proportion of 3,7-dimethyl-3,6-octadienal. Different small letters in the Fig. indicate that there is a significant difference in the same index between the provenances ($P<0.05$).

Table S10 Path analysis of environmental factors for FFY of *L. cubeba*

Traits	Direct path coefficient	Indirect path coefficient								Sum
		X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	
X ₁ (Longitude, °E)	0.674		-0.076	0.286	-0.185	0.105	0.154	-0.381	0.079	-0.020
X ₂ (Latitude, °N)	0.505	-0.057		0.103	0.344	0.042	0.162	-0.036	0.197	0.755
X ₃ (Elevation, m)	0.206	0.087	0.042		0.018	0.074	0.047	-0.032	0.011	0.247
X ₄ (AAT, °C)	0.452	-0.124	0.308	0.040		0.149	-0.061	0.057	0.222	0.591
X ₅ (AAMaxT, °C)	0.021	0.003	0.002	0.007	0.007		0.001	0.000	0.005	0.024
X ₆ (AAMinT, °C)	0.960	0.219	0.308	0.217	-0.131	0.024		0.254	0.396	1.288
X ₇ (AAR, mm)	0.712	-0.403	-0.051	-0.110	0.090	-0.016	0.189		0.214	-0.089
X ₈ (AARH, %)	0.484	0.057	0.189	0.081	0.238	0.109	0.200	0.145		1.019

AAT, annual average temperature; AAMaxT, annual average maximum temperature; AAMinT, annual average minimum temperature; AARH, annual average relative humidity; AAR, annual average rainfall.

Table S11 Path analysis of environmental factors for EO content of *L. cubeba*

Traits	Direct path coefficient	Indirect path coefficient								Sum
		X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	
X ₁ (Longitude, °E)	0.409		-0.046	0.173	-0.112	0.064	0.093	-0.231	0.048	-0.012
X ₂ (Latitude, °N)	0.388	-0.044		0.079	0.265	0.033	0.125	-0.028	0.151	0.580
X ₃ (Elevation, m)	0.377	0.160	0.077		0.034	0.135	0.085	-0.058	0.063	0.495
X ₄ (AAT, °C)	0.002	-0.001	0.001	0.000		0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.003
X ₅ (AAMaxT, °C)	0.200	0.031	0.017	0.071	0.066		0.005	-0.005	0.045	0.231
X ₆ (AAMinT, °C)	0.401	0.091	0.129	0.091	-0.055	0.010		0.106	0.166	0.538
X ₇ (AAR, mm)	0.219	-0.124	-0.016	-0.034	0.028	-0.005	0.058		0.066	-0.027
X ₈ (AARH, %)	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001		0.004

AAT, annual average temperature; AAMaxT, annual average maximum temperature; AAMinT, annual average minimum temperature; AARH, annual average relative humidity; AAR, annual average rainfall.

Table S12 Path analysis of environmental factors for CitrP of *L. cubeba*

Traits	Direct path coefficient	Indirect path coefficient								Sum
		X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	
X ₁ (Longitude, °E)	0.043		-0.005	0.018	-0.012	0.007	0.010	-0.024	0.005	-0.001
X ₂ (Latitude, °N)	0.237	-0.027		0.048	0.162	0.020	0.076	-0.017	0.092	0.355
X ₃ (Elevation, m)	0.043	0.018	0.009		0.004	0.015	0.010	-0.007	0.007	0.056
X ₄ (AAT, °C)	0.507	-0.139	0.346	0.045		0.167	-0.069	0.064	0.249	0.663
X ₅ (AAMaxT, °C)	0.493	0.077	0.041	0.176	0.162		0.012	-0.011	0.111	0.569
X ₆ (AAMinT, °C)	0.885	0.202	0.284	0.200	-0.120	0.022		0.235	0.366	1.188
X ₇ (AAR, mm)	0.663	-0.375	-0.048	-0.103	0.084	-0.015	0.176		0.199	-0.083
X ₈ (AARH, %)	0.154	0.018	0.060	0.026	0.076	0.035	0.064	0.046		0.324

AAT, annual average temperature; AAMaxT, annual average maximum temperature; AAMinT, annual average minimum temperature; AARH, annual average relative humidity; AAR, annual average rainfall.

Table S13 Results on detrended correspondence analysis of environmental factors

Statistic	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4
Eigenvalues	0.0138	0.0029	0.0016	0.0005
Explained variation (cumulative)	56.37	68.23	74.91	76.88
Gradient length	0.34	0.27	0.24	0.22
Pseudo-canonical correlation (suppl.)	0.7397	0.5588	0.8776	0.8010

The gradient length of sorting axis were all less than 3, so that the raw data can be used by the redundancy analysis belonging to the linear model.

Table S14 The summary results of interactive-forward-selection of redundancy analysis

Statistic	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3	Axis 4
Eigenvalues	0.1699	0.1174	0.0853	0.0593
Explained variation (cumulative)	16.99	28.72	37.25	43.19
Pseudo-canonical correlation	0.91	0.78	0.76	0.71
Explained fitted variation (cumulative)	32.29	54.61	70.83	82.11

The analysis methods of redundancy analysis were interactive-forward-selection and forward selection. All environmental factors explained 52.6% of phenotypic variations of *L. cubeba*.

Table S15 The results of forward selection in redundancy analysis

NO.	Name	Explains (%)	Contribution (%)	Pseudo - F	P - value
1	Latitude	12.5	23.8	2.7	0.004
2	Longitude	10.4	19.8	2.4	0.010
3	AAMaxT	6.3	12.0	1.5	0.138
4	AAMinT	6.2	11.7	1.5	0.154
5	Elevation	5.2	10.0	1.3	0.192
6	AAT	4.4	8.3	1.1	0.344
7	AARH	3.9	7.5	1.0	0.398
8	AAR	3.7	6.9	0.9	0.530

Three geographic features and five climate conditions were selected to redundancy analysis; AAT, annual average temperature; AAMaxT, annual average maximum temperature; AAMinT, annual average minimum temperature; AARH, annual average relative humidity; AAR, annual average rainfall.

Table S16 Principal component analysis of phenotypic diversity in *L. cubeba*

Phenotypic traits	Principle component						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TH (m)	0.138	0.029	-0.014	0.713	0.119	-0.248	0.114
GD (mm)	0.437	-0.276	0.059	0.700	0.081	0.013	-0.123
CW (m ²)	0.259	-0.231	0.105	0.714	0.184	0.040	-0.238
FFY (kg·tree ⁻¹)	0.191	-0.374	-0.014	0.478	0.232	0.277	-0.392
TSFW (g)	0.373	0.022	0.508	0.107	-0.664	0.312	0.067
TSDW (g)	0.575	0.171	0.009	0.210	-0.626	0.386	0.195
WC (%)	-0.365	-0.239	0.726	-0.147	0.021	-0.162	-0.220
PR (%)	-0.097	-0.122	0.914	0.048	0.119	0.023	0.189
P:K	-0.139	-0.127	0.912	0.063	0.121	-0.005	0.192
EO content (%)	0.032	0.450	-0.355	0.312	0.197	0.251	0.388
CitrP (%)	0.445	0.827	0.192	-0.030	0.192	-0.102	-0.069
NeralP (%)	0.114	0.846	0.202	0.074	0.244	0.097	0.057
GeranalP (%)	0.639	0.553	0.122	-0.124	0.081	-0.270	-0.174
4M3PP (%)	-0.780	0.237	-0.059	0.334	-0.332	-0.128	-0.208
1CCP4OP (%)	-0.772	0.257	-0.041	0.318	-0.340	-0.148	-0.210
SabP (%)	-0.289	-0.141	0.004	0.355	0.129	-0.239	0.660
D-LP (%)	-0.157	-0.786	-0.244	-0.088	0.085	0.215	0.190
LinalP (%)	-0.151	-0.005	0.145	-0.240	0.360	0.627	-0.104
CitroP (%)	-0.608	0.415	-0.006	0.106	0.166	0.436	-0.063
3,7-DP (%)	0.719	-0.470	-0.046	-0.258	0.019	-0.169	-0.046
Eigenvalue	3.787	3.447	2.774	2.422	1.519	1.323	1.167
Variance contribution rate	18.933	17.236	13.869	12.110	7.596	6.613	5.834
Cummulative contribution rate	18.933	36.169	50.039	62.149	69.745	76.358	82.192

TH, tree height; GD, ground diameter; CW, crown width; FFY, fresh fruit yield; TSDW, thousand seed dry weight; TSFW, thousand seed fresh weight; WC, water content; PR, pericarp ratio; P:K, percarp:kernel; EO content, essential oil content; CitrP, citral proportion; NeralP, the proportion of neral; GeranalP, the proportion of geranal; 4M3PP, the proportion of 4-methyl-3-pentenal; 1CCP4OP, proportion of 1-(cyclopanecarbonyl)Piperidin-4-one; SabP, the proportion of Sabinene; D-LP, the proportion of D-limonene; LinalP, the proportion of linalool; CitroP, the proportion of citronellal; 3,7-DP, the proportion of 3,7-dimethyl-3,6-octadienal.

Table S17 Statistics of D value of comprehensive score of single trees

Single tree	D value	Rank	Single tree	D value	Rank	Single tree	D value	Rank
YS-825	1.21	1	YX-1026	0.36	48	YX-1027	0.16	95
XF-1467	1.11	2	AF-1036	0.36	49	TH-1047	0.16	96
QN-1394	1.03	3	ND-1182	0.36	50	LC-1062a	0.16	97
ND-1187	1.03	4	RJ-1208	0.35	51	QN-1237	0.16	98
WA-1094	0.99	5	AF-1039	0.34	52	QN-1240	0.16	99
LP-820	0.99	6	XS-1381	0.33	53	DY-1457	0.16	100
LP-813	0.92	7	YS-829	0.31	54	XF-1469	0.16	101
XF-1468	0.84	8	WA-1100	0.30	55	GX-1102	0.16	102
FL-808	0.84	9	WN-1368	0.30	56	PX-1010	0.15	103
PX-1008	0.80	10	HC-1217	0.30	57	XS-1383	0.15	104
GX-835	0.80	11	PX-1116	0.29	58	TH-1049	0.14	105
AF-1031	0.79	12	LC-1375	0.29	59	XF-1470	0.14	106
ND-1185	0.78	13	PX-1001	0.28	60	YS-822	0.14	107
GX-1149	0.73	14	JA-1043	0.28	61	AF-1033	0.13	108
QN-1233	0.67	15	TH-1048	0.28	62	ND-1186	0.13	109
LH-1015	0.64	16	LH-1016	0.27	63	FL-807	0.13	110
LP-812	0.62	17	QN-1238	0.27	64	DY-1459	0.12	111
WA-1098	0.61	18	YD-1195b	0.27	65	PX-1003	0.11	112
XF-1462	0.61	19	TH-1046	0.26	66	JA-1041	0.11	113
YX-1030	0.56	20	XF-1227	0.26	67	LC-1060b	0.11	114
WN-1367	0.55	21	XF-1228b	0.26	68	DY-1456	0.11	115
LC-1374	0.55	22	XF-1466	0.26	69	XF-1464	0.11	116
XF-1465	0.53	23	FL-805	0.26	70	HC-1220	0.11	117
YD-1194	0.53	24	FL-810	0.26	71	YX-1024	0.10	118
FL-803	0.53	25	PX-1111	0.25	72	YX-1028	0.10	119
PX-1002	0.51	26	WA-1091	0.25	73	WA-1093	0.10	120
LC-1060a	0.51	27	HC-1371	0.25	74	QN-1437	0.10	121
XS-1385	0.49	28	YD-1193	0.25	75	GX-1147	0.09	122
GX-1150	0.49	29	LP-815	0.25	76	HC-1221	0.08	123
RJ-1209	0.48	30	YX-1021	0.24	77	DY-1460	0.07	124
AF-1034	0.47	31	LC-1064	0.24	78	LP-814	0.07	125
QN-1239	0.47	32	GX-1104	0.24	79	TH-1044	0.06	126
LH-1020	0.46	33	LP-818	0.24	80	DY-1248	0.06	127
HC-1216	0.46	34	XF-1226	0.23	81	YD-1196	0.06	128
LC-1059a	0.44	35	XF-1228a	0.23	82	LC-1061	0.05	129
LH-1018	0.43	36	XF-1230	0.23	83	TH-1045	0.04	130
XF-1223	0.43	37	GX-1101	0.23	84	LC-1059b	0.04	131
GX-1005	0.43	38	YD-1197	0.22	85	HC-1391	0.04	132
LP-819	0.43	39	PX-1114	0.21	86	FL-806	0.04	133
AF-1037	0.42	40	LC-1062b	0.21	87	GX-839	0.04	134
LC-1373	0.41	41	RJ-1203	0.20	88	WN-1369	0.03	135
DY-1247	0.40	42	YX-1025	0.19	89	HC-1222	0.03	136
YD-1195a	0.40	43	YX-1029	0.19	90	YS-830	0.02	137
AF-1038	0.38	44	GX-833	0.19	91	LH-1019	0.01	138
QN-1393	0.38	45	YX-1022	0.18	92	LC-1063	0.01	139
LH-1014	0.37	46	YX-1023	0.17	93	JA-1042	0.00	140
XF-1229	0.37	47	XF-1463	0.17	94	DY-1455	0.00	141

The samples marked with letter a are taken in 2021, and those marked with letter b are taken in 2022.