



Therapeutic Perspectives for Inflammation and Senescence in Osteoarthritis Using Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles and Senolytic Agents

Michael G. Rizzo ¹, Thomas M. Best ¹, Johnny Huard ², Marc Philippon ², Francis Hornicek ³, Zhenfeng Duan ³, Anthony J. Griswold ⁴, Lee D. Kaplan ¹, Joshua M. Hare ⁵ and Dimitrios Kouroupis ^{1,6,*}

- Department of Orthopedics, UHealth Sports Medicine Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33146, USA; mgr97@med.miami.edu (M.G.R.); txb440@med.miami.edu (T.M.B.)
 Center for Regenerative and Personalized Medicine (CRPM), Steadman Philippon Research Institute,
- Center for Regenerative and Personalized Medicine (CRPM), Steadman Philippon Research Institute, Vail, CO 81657, USA; m@mjphilippon.com (M.P.)
 ³ Department of Orthopedics, Sarcona Biology Laboratory, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Univ.
- ³ Department of Orthopedics, Sarcoma Biology Laboratory, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33136, USA; fjh21@med.miami.edu (F.H.); zxd221@med.miami.edu (Z.D.)
- ⁴ John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33136, USA; agriswold@med.miami.edu
- ⁵ Interdisciplinary Stem Cell Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33101, USA
- ⁵ Diabetes Research Institute, Cell Transplant Center, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL 33136, USA
- * Correspondence: dxk504@med.miami.edu; Tel.: +1-(305)-243-2228

Abstract: Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common cause of disability worldwide among the elderly. Alarmingly, the incidence of OA in individuals less than 40 years of age is rising, likely due to the increase in obesity and post-traumatic osteoarthritis (PTOA). In recent years, due to a better understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of OA, several potential therapeutic approaches targeting specific molecular pathways have been identified. In particular, the role of inflammation and the immune system has been increasingly recognized as important in a variety of musculoskeletal diseases, including OA. Similarly, higher levels of host cellular senescence, characterized by cessation of cell division and the secretion of a senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) within the local tissue microenvironments, have also been linked to OA and its progression. New advances in the field, including stem cell therapies and senolytics, are emerging with the goal of slowing disease progression. Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) are a subset of multipotent adult stem cells that have demonstrated the potential to modulate unchecked inflammation, reverse fibrosis, attenuate pain, and potentially treat patients with OA. Numerous studies have demonstrated the potential of MSC extracellular vesicles (EVs) as cell-free treatments that comply with FDA regulations. EVs, including exosomes and microvesicles, are released by numerous cell types and are increasingly recognized as playing a critical role in cell-cell communication in age-related diseases, including OA. Treatment strategies for OA are being developed that target senescent cells and the paracrine and autocrine secretions of SASP. This article highlights the encouraging potential for MSC or MSCderived products alone or in combination with senolytics to control patient symptoms and potentially mitigate the progression of OA. We will also explore the application of genomic principles to the study of OA and the potential for the discovery of OA phenotypes that can motivate more precise patient-driven treatments.

Keywords: osteoarthritis; mesenchymal stem/stromal cells; senolytics; cellular senescence; extracellular vesicles; senescence-associated secretory phenotype; inflammation; cell-based therapies



Citation: Rizzo, M.G.; Best, T.M.; Huard, J.; Philippon, M.; Hornicek, F.; Duan, Z.; Griswold, A.J.; Kaplan, L.D.; Hare, J.M.; Kouroupis, D. Therapeutic Perspectives for Inflammation and Senescence in Osteoarthritis Using Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles and Senolytic Agents. *Cells* **2023**, *12*, 1421. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells12101421

Academic Editor: Tong-Chuan He

Received: 4 April 2023 Revised: 8 May 2023 Accepted: 13 May 2023 Published: 18 May 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. The Role of Inflammation and Cellular Senescence in OA

Inflammation is a basic tenant in the body's acute response to injury as well as the subsequent healing and regenerative processes [1]. Signs and symptoms of inflammation have been recognized for millennia, and Celsus, a Roman scholar in the first century A.D., is credited with the first recognized description of the so-called "tetrad of inflammation" involving calor (heat), dolor (pain), tumor (swelling), and rubor (redness) [2]. Inflammation, fibrosis, and cellular senescence have been implicated in many musculoskeletal diseases, including osteoarthritis (OA), tendinopathies, metaplastic processes, and numerous others [3–8].

There are three phases of inflammation: pro-inflammatory, tissue repair and regeneration, and remodeling and maturation [9]. During the pro-inflammatory phase, the body's innate immune response is activated, and inflammatory cells are recruited to the site of injury; in the tissue repair and regeneration phase, the inflammatory response subsides, and cells transition to a reparative state; in the final stage, the repaired tissue matures, and inflammatory cells either egress or undergo apoptosis to terminate the inflammatory response. Unchecked inflammation is associated with numerous consequences that can result clinically in chronic pain, edema, and loss of function [10].

Tissue repair begins with the influx of pro-inflammatory cells as part of the innate immune response [11,12]. The first cells to arrive at the site of inflammation are neutrophils, which phagocytose (ingest) and kill invading microorganisms through the release of enzymes and reactive oxygen species [13]. Neutrophils are short-lived cells and are typically only present at the site of inflammation for a few days before undergoing apoptosis (programmed cell death) [14]. Monocytes are additionally attracted to areas of active inflammation, where they differentiate into macrophages [11]. Macrophages have two main polarization states: the more classically described M1 macrophages are pro-inflammatory cells that produce inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-6, that phagocytose invading microorganisms, debris, and damaged tissue through the release of enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [15]. Alternatively, polarized macrophages (M2) play a more anti-inflammatory and regenerative role by producing transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) and fibronectin [11,16]. An imbalance between the M1 and M2 phenotypes can lead to a progressive cycle of persistent/chronic inflammation with increased expression of TGF-β1 and eventual fibrosis [17–19].

Chronic states of inflammation (e.g., metabolic syndrome), where synovitis is often present in the knees of patients with OA, have been increasingly recognized as an important contributor to disease pathogenesis. Patients with knee OA and metabolic syndrome have greater morbidity associated with their OA compared with patients with fewer comorbidities and no metabolic syndrome [20]. As early as 1953, it was recognized that the pathological process of OA was not simply degeneration but rather failed attempts at healing: "What is so damaging in osteoarthritis seems to be not the degeneration of the cartilage but the vigorous and persistent attempt at repair, an attempt which aggravates the already disordered function of the joint" [21]. Interestingly, the infrapatellar fat pad and synovium have increasingly been shown to play an orchestrated immunomodulatory role and together may contribute to the chronic state of inflammation typically seen in some patients with knee OA [22]. The degree of OA pain and disease severity have also been correlated with the extent of synovitis [23,24], and some studies even suggest the synovium may extend the articular cartilage (AC) degradation process [6,25,26].

Increasingly, the infrapatellar fat pad (IFP) has been implicated in the pathogenesis of OA. Heilmeier et al. observed that after an acute ACL injury, the IFP releases inflammatory cytokines that contribute to a sustained inflammatory response, often for several months [27]. Adipocytes within the IFP have been shown to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β and metalloproteases, in addition to hormones such as leptin and adiponectin, into the synovial fluid, which can contribute to inflammation within the joint, resulting in AC damage and degradation [28–32]. Leptin has additionally been shown to have a catabolic effect on AC and can activate M1 macrophages already present within the IFP through the upregulation of interleukins and nitric oxide [33,34].

In addition to the resident M1 macrophages, various circulating immune cells, including CD4 and CD8 T cells, B cells, mast cells, and additional macrophages, can be recruited into the IFP and synovium through the action of pro-inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins, IL-6, and IL-8 [35,36]. Substance P (SP), a neuropeptide produced by nociceptive nerve fibers, can also contribute to immune cell recruitment by inducing vasodilation of peripheral vessels, allowing for the extravasation of these immune cells [28]. Accordingly, the levels of these cells, such as CD4 T, have been correlated with pain scores [4]. Ultimately, the inflammatory state of a diseased joint represents a complex interplay between resident and circulating immune cells (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Effects of inflammation and cellular senescence in OA.

Cellular senescence has been implicated in multiple musculoskeletal diseases, including OA and osteoporosis [7]. Increased numbers of senescent osteocytes have been observed in both murine and human models [37,38], and induction of senescence in murine and human osteocytes has been shown to increase RANKL-dependent bone resorption [39]. We have recently shown that the elimination of senescent cells with senolytic treatment can improve bone health in a progeria animal model [40]. Senescent cells have also been shown to accumulate in osteoarthritic joints [41–43]. Studies have shown that senescent chondrocytes accumulate in OA joints, and their presence is positively correlated with the severity of the disease [41,44]. Senescent chondrocytes secrete SASP factors, which can cause an imbalance between cartilage synthesis and degradation, leading to structural dysfunction in the joint. The accumulation of senescent cells in OA joints has been linked to oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, genomic or epigenomic damage, or other senescence-inducing stressors that perpetuate the accumulation of additional senescent cells (Figure 1) [45]. In addition, senescent cells have been found in the subchondral bone of aged mice with surgically induced osteoarthritis (OA), while SASP has been implicated in age-related trabecular and cortical bone loss [37,46]. Senescent cell-associated extracellular vesicles serve as markers of OA and its response to therapy. The clearance of senescent

cells has been shown to decrease the rate of post-traumatic OA in a murine model, suggesting that senescent cells may play a key role in the pathogenesis of post-traumatic OA [46]. It has been proposed that SASP products enhance the pro-inflammatory state of M1 macrophages, which can contribute to increased inflammation and cartilage degradation [7,47]. Accordingly, targeting senescent cells and their EV-derived cargo may represent a potential therapeutic approach for certain OA phenotypes.

2. Efficacy and Limitations of Standard-of-Care Modalities in Our Current Treatment Approaches for OA

The mainstay of conservative treatment typically involves oral or topical medications such as analgesics and anti-inflammatories, physical therapy, and injections. The primary role of analgesics, distinct from anti-inflammatories, is to relieve pain, but these often do not result in significant alteration of disease processes. Examples include lidocaine, which functions by blocking sodium and potassium ion channels on cell membranes [48]. Another example is acetaminophen, which is thought to function through the cyclooxygenase (COX) pathways primarily in the central nervous system and peripheral tissues, although its exact mechanism of action is still debated [49,50]. Antiinflammatories can be categorized as steroidal or non-steroidal (NSAIDs). Both serve to decrease inflammation, although the pathways through which they accomplish this task vary. Steroids—specifically corticosteroids—bind to intracellular receptors to modulate gene expression and reduce the production of pro-inflammatory molecules [51,52]. NSAIDs, conversely, primarily inhibit COX, which reduces the synthesis of pro-inflammatory molecules such as prostaglandins [53].

Injectable agents, including corticosteroids, hyaluronic acid (HA), and platelet-rich plasma (PRP), have been shown to provide relief for symptomatic OA, but their efficacy varies [52]. Corticosteroids have been discussed above, but local administration of the drug provides targeted relief and may reduce the risk of systemic complications. HA is a naturally occurring glycosaminoglycan that is produced in joints to lubricate AC and increase the viscosity of synovial fluid. Injection of HA, also known as viscosupplementation, is intended to improve the lubrication of diseased joints [52]. PRP is prepared from a patient's blood and contains a high concentration of activated platelets, which release growth factors that are believed to aid in healing and tissue regeneration [54]. The rationale for PRP use is strong, as it is easy to manufacture and administer, it does not show major adverse effects, and it is less aggressive than other therapeutic options (i.e., corticosteroids). Methodologies to prepare PRP vary, affecting clinical outcomes upon infusion in vivo. Specifically, PRP can be prepared by single centrifugation, double centrifugation, or blood selective filtration procedures, whereas platelets can be ex vivo activated mechanically with freeze-thawing cycles, chemically with thrombin or calcium chloride, or endogenously [55]. Important variables that affect therapeutic outcomes are (1) the ratio of platelets in PRP to platelets in whole blood, (2) the presence/absence of white blood cells, and (3) the method of PRP activation. On this basis, in order to optimize clinical efficacy for PRP, a standardized description of platelet-derived product characteristics has been proposed (reviewed in [56]).

Despite the availability of conservative measures, they have not been shown to have a sustained effect in the long-term mitigation of OA symptoms or disease progression and can have deleterious effects when prescribed over long durations [57]. NSAIDs, in particular, can lead to increases in blood pressure, cause gastric ulcers, and even lead to acute kidney failure, stroke, or myocardial infarction [58]. Chronic systemic steroid use can lead to osteoporosis, osteonecrosis, Cushing's disease, adrenal insufficiency, hyperlipidemia, and many other effects [59]. Intra-articular injection of steroids has been shown to accelerate tissue damage and AC degeneration, so these are generally used judiciously [60–62]. Further, the use of intra-articular steroid injections has been shown to increase the risk of prosthetic joint infections in patients who do undergo total joint arthroplasty [63,64]. HA injections are relatively safe, with the main adverse reaction being a temporary local inflammatory

reaction to the agent [52]. Similarly, PRP has been shown to only cause a focal increase in swelling and inflammation in the immediate period following injection.

Physical therapy (PT) has been used as a conservative therapy for most musculoskeletal pathologies and is often among the first conservative modalities utilized, alone or in combination with any of the above. PT has shown some effectiveness in reducing pain and improving function in hip and knee OA [65,66], yet recent evidence suggests that PT might not be superior to a sham intervention [67]. However, exercise and weight loss have been shown to have a positive impact on OA, so PT may assist in developing good exercise habits to support these efforts [66]. Articular cartilage heals best with a gradual increase in loading over time. Too little loading inhibits repair, but loading the joint too much too early can damage the healing cartilage.

While there are a variety of conservative modalities available, these treatments do not significantly alter the course of the disease. Indeed, 52.2% of males and 50.6% of females with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis will eventually go on to receive a total knee arthroplasty [68]. Thus, there is a significant need for novel therapies that, ideally, provide disease-modifying activity.

3. Investigation of Genetic Factors Contributing to OA

Given the noted complexity and heterogeneity of OA, elucidating the underlying genetic factors contributing to the disease has been challenging. Evidence for the role of genetics in OA has been previously noted by epidemiologic and family studies [69,70], with heritability estimates ranging from 39% to 79% [71,72]. Candidate gene studies have been conducted on structural molecules, including those involved in extracellular matrix (ECM) genes that affect the inflammatory cascade, such as cytokines, genes that influence joint development, proteins that impact mitochondrial apoptosis, and many others [69,72]. As the costs of genomic analyses have decreased and large community-based cohorts have been collected, there has been a rapid increase in the number of studies set up to identify genetic markers that could provide important insights for disease screening and potential treatment targets [73]. In particular, the largest genome-wide association study (GWAS) in more than 77,000 cases and 375,000 controls in the UK Biobank identified genes that are targets of existing therapeutics, including *TGFB1* (transforming growth factor beta 1), FGF18 (fibroblast growth factor 18), CTSK (cathepsin K), and IL11 (interleukin 11) [74]. Overall, across a number of such GWAS studies, more than 120 genetic variants in 95 loci have been associated with OA [69]. These molecular studies have in turn spurred investigations of genetic animal models of OA, with more than 20 mutant mouse strains identified that recapitulate some OA features [75].

Critically, while these genetic studies have enhanced knowledge related to the genes and molecular pathways contributing to OA, there has been a concurrent effort to expand understanding of the functional roles of these genes and molecules in OA pathogenesis. These include transcriptomic analyses in human tissues relevant to OA, including cartilage and subchondral bone [76] and cell-free RNA from synovial fluid [77]. Moreover, quantitative trait analyses have linked several OA-associated genetic variants with gene expression alterations, further enhancing our understanding of the mechanisms by which risk is conferred [78]. As technologies continue to evolve, our understanding of the cell-specific effects contributing to the heterogeneity of OA has improved. For example, single-cell transcriptomic approaches provide unprecedented resolution and insights into the heterogeneity of cellular activities in OA, including in the cartilage [79] and the fat pad of animal models [80]. Continued investigation of the genetic and functional mechanisms of OA in human tissue and in animal models of OA will further establish links between genetics, transcriptomics, and cellular functions to refine the pathophysiology of disease and suggest potential novel therapeutic applications.

4. Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) and Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles as Therapeutic Modalities

4.1. MSCs Therapeutic Capacity in Inflammation and Pain

MSCs are multipotent adult stem cells that can be isolated from many tissues and have been shown to have local anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects [81]. They were first identified by Friedenstein in 1974 as multipotent cells capable of conferring a microenvironment in tissues [82]. Later research suggested that they also have a regenerative capacity, particularly in vascular maintenance and repair [83]. This role was expanded to include immunomodulatory effects in subsequent studies (reviewed in [84]). Initially, it was believed that MSCs exerted their activity through cell-to-cell interactions with immune system cell populations [85]. In vitro studies have suggested that MSCs can upregulate the expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), which are important for immune-cell trafficking and adhesion to tissues [86]. Through upregulation of these proteins, MSCs instead adhere to tissues and prevent immune cells from adhering and causing inflammation. Over the last decade, much work has been devoted to profiling the regenerative qualities of MSCs, indicating paracrine activity is their primary mode of action [87]. On this basis, MSCs have been shown to affect tissues through paracrine activity by secreting cytokines, exosomes, and microR-NAs [88]. Deemed "injury drugstores", MSCs have been shown to have anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrotic, and analgesic therapeutic activity, all of which could impart benefit to patients with OA [88].

The anti-inflammatory action of MSCs results from interactions with both the innate and adaptive immune systems. The innate immune system contains several different cell lines, with the monocyte/macrophage lineage being a central player [89]. MSCs have been shown to secrete various proteins, including prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL-6, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), which inhibit the polarization of monocytes into M1 macrophages and permit M2 macrophages to dominate [90–92]. Mainly, MSCs polarize activated pro-inflammatory (M1) macrophages into an M2 antiinflammatory phenotype through a PGE2-dependent mechanism [93–96]. MSCs have also been shown to inhibit neutrophil apoptosis and their pro-inflammatory respiratory burst through IL-6-mediated pathways [97]. MSCs can also inhibit mast cell degranulation and production of TNF- α by producing PGE2 and upregulating COX-2 [98]. MSCs primarily affect the adaptive immune system through interactions with B and T lymphocytes. By binding directly to PD-1 receptors on B cells and secreting anti-inflammatory factors such as TGF- β and galectin 9, MSCs prevent the activation of B cells into plasma cells [90,99]. MSCs also inhibit both CD4+ (helper) and CD8+ (cytotoxic) T cells through cell-cell contact and paracrine mechanisms, including direct binding to programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), and the secretion of multiple factors, such as PGE2, TGF- β , and galectin-1 [90,99,100]. MSCs can induce the differentiation of CD4+ T cells into CD4⁺CD25^(high)FoxP3⁺ T Regs [101–103]. Specifically, MSCs augment T Regs-mediated immunosuppression through HLA-G5, B7-H4, IL-10 secretion, and up-regulation of PD-1 receptors on T Regs [104,105]. Unregulated inflammation is a primary driver of fibrosis, and MSCs exert their effects through the attenuation of these inflammatory pathways. Specifically, this downregulation of inflammation occurs through decreased expression of pro-inflammatory molecules such as TNF- α and IL-1β, shifting macrophage polarization toward the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype, and inhibiting B cell infiltration [106]. Thus, MSCs exhibit strong anti-inflammatory, antifibrotic, and analgesic effects through direct interaction with the effector cells of the innate and adaptive immune systems.

It is widely accepted that MSC populations within different niches and tissues are highly heterogeneous. However, previous studies suggest that distinct MSC subpopulations possess superior functionality, especially for immunomodulation (reviewed in [107]). Investigations have helped distinguish subpopulations within crude MSCs based on the expression of the pericyte-related marker CD146. In general, MSCs share similar characteristics with pericytes that are found in the perivascular niche, including the expression of CD146, NG2, and PDGF-Rβ, as well as the ability to differentiate into multiple cell types and play a role in maintaining tissue homeostasis and modulating the immune system [108–112]. There is evidence that MSCs and pericytes may have a shared developmental origin and that MSCs may differentiate into pericytes under certain conditions [83,113]. Based on this, Bowles et al. determined that the CD146+ MSC subpopulation is correlated with an innately higher immunomodulatory and secretory capacity [114]. In a rat model of inflammation and fibrosis, the study showed that treatment with CD146+ MSCs resulted in greater therapeutic efficacy compared to the CD146– subpopulation, promoting M2 macrophage polarization and reducing inflammation and fibrosis in the synovium and fat pad tissues [114]. These findings suggest that the CD146+ subpopulation is the main mediator of MSC therapeutic effects observed with crude MSC preparations and may have potential as a therapeutic option for OA patients whose phenotype is characterized by joint and periarticular inflammation and fibrosis.

CD10, also known as neprilysin, is a surface enzyme expressed in MSCs and is involved in the degradation of various signaling molecules, including the molecule of pain substance P (SP), a compound secreted by sensory nerve fibers in the synovium and interstitial fluid and associated with nociceptive pathways of pain [115]. In a recent study, Kouroupis et al. investigated the role of CD10 in MSCs and its effects on SP degradation [116]. CD10 was highly enriched in IFP-MSCs after exposure to pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic conditions, leading to a significant reduction in SP levels. Inhibition of CD10 enzymatic activity with thiorphan abrogated this effect, supporting a CD10-dependent mechanism of SP degradation. Furthermore, a general correlation between CD10 expression and SP levels was observed in both naive and stimulated IFP-MSCs and bone marrowderived MSCs (BM-MSCs). Supernatants obtained from stimulated MSC cultures also contained CD10 and exhibited SP degrading activity, suggesting that CD10 may be released by MSCs in the form of extracellular vesicles. In a subsequent study, the same group found that preparing IFP-MSCs in a regulatory-compliant medium resulted in higher CD10 and CD146 expression as well as improved degradation of SP in vitro and in vivo, with reversal of synovitis and fibrosis even at lower cell doses [117]. These findings suggest that CD10 expression and activity in MSCs may contribute to their anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and anti-fibrotic effects, potentially through the degradation of SP. Elevated synovial levels of SP have previously been linked to the severity of pain in knee OA and the magnitude of pain relief following arthroplasty; accordingly, targeting SP with MSCs may provide a novel treatment for knee OA [118].

4.2. Efficacy and Limitations of These Alternative Therapeutic Modalities in Preclinical and Proof-of-Concept Clinical Trials Aimed at Modulating OA

There is a growing body of research examining the use of MSCs for the treatment of OA, both in preclinical and clinical studies. In preclinical studies, MSCs have been evaluated as a potential treatment for cartilage damage, where they may differentiate into functional chondrocytes and contribute to the repair and regeneration of the damaged tissue (Table 1) [119–121]. While this approach has shown some promise, there are limitations to the use of MSCs for cartilage regeneration, including the fact that the injected cells do not directly participate in the repair process and the regenerated tissue may not fully resemble native AC and may have different structural characteristics [120,122]. There is a growing body of research examining the use of MSCs for the treatment of OA, both in preclinical and clinical studies. In preclinical studies, MSCs have been evaluated as a potential treatment for cartilage damage, where they may differentiate into functional cartilage cells and contribute to the repair and regeneration of the damaged tissue [119–121,123–125]. While this approach has shown some promise, there are limitations to the use of MSCs for cartilage regeneration, including the fact that the resulting tissue may not fully resemble native AC and may have different structural characteristics [120,122]. Further preclinical studies using MSCs for AC regeneration are described in [126].

| Reference | Animal Model | Therapeutic Group | Control Group | Follow-Up | Therapeutic Effect | Outcomes |
|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| [124] | White New Zealand rabbits as models of OA | Intraarticular injection of infrapatellar fat pad-derived MSCs | 1 mL of medium without cells | 16 and 20 weeks post- surgery | Benefit | Reduced cartilage degeneration, osteophyte formation, and subchondral sclerosis; improved cartilage quality. |
| [125] | Hartley-strain guinea pigs with spontaneous OA | Intra-articular injection of commercially available MSCs suspended in PBS or HA | PBS or HA alone | 1, 3, and 5 weeks | Benefit | Partial cartilage repair, MSC migration, differentiation, and proliferation. |
| [127] | - Age-related bone loss in the mouse model - Ovariec- tomized bone loss mouse model - LPS-induced bone loss mouse model | Daily oral gavage with fisetin (5 to 50 mg/kg) | Vehicle | 4 weeks | Benefit | Fisetin treatment significantly prevented bone loss in estrogen deficiency and inflammation models of osteoporosis in mice. Bone mineral density, micro-architecture parameters, and bone markers were positively modulated by fisetin. |
| [128] | Mono- iodoacetate- induced OA rat model | - Intra-articular injection of rat bone marrow-derived MSCs (1×10^6) - Intra-articular injection of rat bone marrow mononuclear cells (10×10^6) | Saline | 4 weeks | Limited benefit | MSCs reduced pain, but there were no significant effects on cartilage damage, subchondral bone alterations, or synovial inflammation. |
| [129] | Surgically- induced OA rat model | Intra-articular injection of exosomes from human embryonic cells-derived MSCs (100 µg) | PBS | 6 and 12 weeks | Benefit | Exosome treatment enhanced gross appearance, improved histological scores, and resulted in complete restoration of cartilage and subchondral bone with features resembling those of an age-matched unoperated control. |
| [123] | Mice with a human age-related osteoporosis model | Systemic injection of minimally expanded exogenous MSCs | Age- matched wild-type mice | 24 weeks post- engraftment | Benefit | Increased bone formation, improved bone quality, and microarchitectural competence. |
| [130] | Surgically- induced OA rat model | Intra-articular injection of exosomes from human synovial mesenchymal stem cells (SMSC-Exos, 10¹¹ exosome particles/mL) Intra-articular injection of exosomes from miR- 140-5p-overexpressing human synovial mesenchymal stem cells (SMSC-140-Exos, 10¹¹ exosome particles/mL) | Saline | 12 weeks | Benefit | SMSC-140-Exos enhanced the proliferation and migration of articular chondrocytes without harming extracellular matrix secretion and prevented OA in a rat model. |

 Table 1. Preclinical studies using MSCs and/or senolytics in OA and bone loss animal models.

| Reference | Animal Model | Therapeutic Group | Control Group | Follow-Up | Therapeutic Effect | Outcomes |
|-----------|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| [131] | Age-related bone loss mouse model | Once-monthly treatments by oral gavage with dasatinib and quercetin (5 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively) Daily administration with chow JAK 1/2 inhibitor, ruxolitinib (JAKi) (60 mg/kg) | Vehicle | 2–4 months | Benefit | Treatment with senolytics or the JAKi resulted in higher bone mass and strength and better bone microarchitecture than in vehicle-treated mice. The beneficial effects of targeting senescent cells were due to lower bone resorption with either maintained (trabecular) or higher (cortical) bone formation as compared to vehicle-treated mice. |
| [132] | Surgically- induced OA mouse model | Daily oral gavage with fisetin (20 mg/kg) | Vehicle | 8 weeks | Benefit | - Fisetin has an anti-inflammatory effect and attenuates OA progression. - Fisetin-treated mice exhibited less cartilage destruction, reduced subchondral bone plate thickness, alleviated synovitis, and lower OARSI scores. |
| [133] | Collagenase- induced OA mouse model | Intra-articular injection of human bone marrow-derived MSCs (2 × 10⁴ cells) Intra-articular injection of the secretome from human bone marrow-derived MSCs (2 × 10⁴ cells) | Growth medium | 1 and 3 weeks | Benefit | Injection of MSC secretome, similarly to injection of MSCs, resulted in early pain reduction and had a protective effect on cartilage damage development. No effects were observed regarding synovial inflammation, subchondral bone volume, or the presence of different macrophage subtypes. |
| [134] | Surgically- induced OA goat model | - Intra-articular injection of naïve human adipose-derived MSCs (0.6×10^7) - Intra-articular injection of SOX-6, 9-transfected human adipose-derived MSCs in three doses (low-dose group: 0.18×10^7 mid-dose group: 0.6×10^7 high-dose group: 1.8×10^7) | PBS | 5 months | Benefit | MSCs reduced OA progression in goats. SOX-6, 9-transfected MSCs at a dose of 0.6 × 10⁷ best preserved articular cartilage and produced significantly better macroscopic and microscopic scores than negative controls in femoral and tibial articular surfaces. |

Table 1. Cont.

| Reference | Animal Model | Therapeutic Group | Control Group | Follow-Up | Therapeutic Effect | Outcomes |
|-----------|--|---|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--|
| [135] | Surgically- induced OA mouse model | Intra-articular injection of exosomes from bone marrow-derived MSCs (BMSC-Exos) Intra-articular injection of exosomes from curcumin-treated bone marrow-derived MSCs (CUR BMSC-Exos) | PBS | N/A | Benefit | BMSC-Exos attenuated the progression of osteoarthritis. CUR BMSC-Exos decreased the DNA methylation of miR-143 and miR-124 promoters. As a result, miR-143 and miR-124 were up-regulated to further inhibit the expression of their target genes, ROCK1 and NF-kB, which were closely related to the development of OA. |
| [136] | Ovariectomized bone loss rat model | Daily oral gavage with fisetin (5, 10, and 20 mg/kg) | Vehicle | 16 weeks | Benefit | Fisetin improved bone density, bone mineral content, and biomechanical parameters. |

Table 1. Cont.

Despite these limitations, clinical studies have shown that MSC treatment may offer promise to patients with OA. For example, Pak has described a case series where implantation of autologous adipose-derived MSCs into hips with osteonecrosis and knees with OA showed bone and cartilage regeneration as measured on MRI [137]. Other studies performed by Koh et al. and Freitag et al. have demonstrated the efficacy of using autologous adipose-derived MSCs in combination with arthroscopic microfracture or abrasion for the treatment of knee cartilage defects and generalized OA with some success [138–140]. Allogeneic MSCs have also been studied for the treatment of knee cartilage defects. In a phase I clinical trial, de Windt et al. demonstrated the utility of allogeneic MSCs mixed with autologous cartilage-derived cells in improving clinical outcomes and the appearance of cartilage lesions on MRI and second-look arthroscopy, suggesting AC regeneration [141]. In a multicenter, double-blind, randomized controlled trial, Vangsness et al. found that allogeneic MSC injections following partial medial meniscectomy resulted in significantly increased meniscal volume and reduced pain in patients with knee OA compared to treatment with hyaluronic acid [142]. These outcomes suggest that allogeneic MSCs may assist in the meniscal regeneration process and additionally provide analgesia and possibly AC restoration [142]. Similarly, Vega et al. showed the effectiveness of allogenic MSC injections in improving patient-reported outcomes (PROs) and cartilage appearance on MRI compared to hyaluronic acid [143]. Further clinical studies using MSCs for AC regeneration have also been reported [126,144]. Despite promising initial results, a significant amount of heterogeneity exists regarding the dosing of MSCs. Several studies have evaluated various doses, but a consistent dosing protocol or dose-dependent effect has yet to be confirmed [126,134,145–148]. Interestingly, in a review on pre-clinical MSC dosing, Wang et al. found a positive correlation between MSC dose and animal weight but were unable to conclude an optimal dosing regimen [126]. Furthermore, while MSCs have generally demonstrated anabolic effects on AC, some studies have reported no significant improvement in AC reconstruction and only improvements in pain scores, suggesting further research is needed to fully understand MSCs' potential benefits [128].

Overall, MSCs show promise as a treatment for various musculoskeletal conditions, but additional long-term studies are needed to assess their continued efficacy. Concerns about potential side effects of cell-based therapies have slowed their adoption into wider clinical use, despite no adverse events being reported in any of the aforementioned studies. Indeed, all were performed outside the United States, as cell-based therapy is not yet approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) due to concerns about malignant transformation of the cells or hypersensitivity reactions. To determine whether MSCs were associated with adverse events in a larger population, Centeno et al. reviewed the records of 2372 patients who received MSC injections at 18 facilities in the United States or Australia [149]. Interestingly, they found that only seven patients developed a new malignancy, which was a lower rate than the general untreated population. Adverse events were reported in 325 patients (13.7%), and the most frequent were pain post-procedure (3.9%) and pain due to accelerating degenerative joint disease (3.8%). These results suggest that the use of MSCs is safe, but further studies in more diverse populations and longer-term follow-up are needed.

4.3. Application of MSC-Derived Extracellular Vesicles

The concern regarding the potential side effects and increased immunogenicity of cell-based therapies has led researchers to pursue cell-free treatments focusing on the MSC secretome, especially their extracellular vesicles (EVs) [150]. While MSCs do secrete cytokines and chemokines directly, they also exhibit their paracrine effects via EVs, especially exosomes. Exosomes are nanosized (50–200 nm) EVs generated via the endosomal pathway [151], and secreted by MSCs in response to their surrounding milieu. They serve as vehicles for cellular export products, including lipids, proteins, and RNAs (mRNAs and miRNAs), and can modulate the function of other cells at proximal or distal sites [152]. Because EV composition is believed to reflect the characteristics of their parent cells, EVs should convey many of the benefits of MSCs if prepared properly [152]. To date, studies have isolated and characterized exosomes from various MSC sources (i.e., bone marrow, umbilical cord, and adipose tissues), confirming their strong anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrotic, and angiogenesis-remodeling capacities [152].

Many studies have found that MSC-EVs have strong immunomodulatory properties, particularly through the action of miRNAs, which may be able to target the immune system and modulate angiogenesis [153–155]. Our laboratory has demonstrated that MSC-EVs isolated from a CD146+ subpopulation possess enhanced anti-inflammatory capabilities through the high expression of immunomodulatory miRNAs [154]. Another study found that IFP-MSCs had an immunomodulatory secretome with strong miRNA attributes that were able to reduce synoviocyte and macrophage proliferation and inflammation-related molecular profiles, as well as reduce the secretion of pro-inflammatory molecules when stimulated in vitro [155]. In an acute synovial/IFP inflammation rat model, MSC-EV's therapeutic treatment resulted in robust macrophage polarization towards an anti-inflammatory therapeutic M2 phenotype within the synovium/IFP tissues [155]. These findings suggest that MSC-EVs may have therapeutic potential for the treatment and identification of inflammation and fibrosis. Additionally, assessment of levels of EVs may help in defining the disease state in neoplastic and non-neoplastic conditions [156].

In addition to their immunomodulatory effects, MSC-EVs have been shown to play a role in regulating cell proliferation, particularly in the context of AC repair and regeneration [157]. In vitro studies have demonstrated the ability of MSC-EVs to promote chondrocyte proliferation and inhibit chondrocyte apoptosis [104,130,135,158–160]. One of the main mechanisms by which MSC-EVs promote chondrocyte proliferation is through the transfer of miRNAs, which regulate gene expression and modulate various signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation. For example, MSC-EVs have been shown to transfer miRNAs, which can promote chondrocyte proliferation through the regulation of CDH11, NF-kB, ROCK1, TLR9, and Wnt5a [130,135,158–161]. Additionally, miRNAs from MSC-EVs have also been shown to inhibit chondrocyte apoptosis in OA by inhibiting the expression of pro-apoptotic proteins such as HMGB1, IL-1β, and RUNX2 [162–164]. Furthermore, MSC-EVs have been shown to stimulate the production of ECM components in chondrocytes, including proteoglycans and collagen. For example, exosomes derived from MSCs have been shown to increase the production of aggrecan and collagen II in chondrocytes [165]. MSC-EVs may also modulate the activity of enzymes involved in ECM synthesis and degradation, such as aggrecanases and MMPs, to promote ECM production

and inhibit degradation in chondrocytes [157,165,166]. These effects of MSC-EVs on ECM production may contribute to their potential therapeutic effects in OA.

Animal models have also been employed to further characterize the beneficial effects of MSC-EVs and establish their safety profile. Qi et al. found that the implantation of MSC-EVs into large bone defects in a rat model of osteoporosis enhanced bone regeneration and angiogenesis in a dose-dependent manner [167]. Zhang et al. also observed beneficial effects in rats with surgically-induced osteochondral defects of the knee [129]. One extremity was treated with intra-articular MSC-EVs and the other with a buffered control immediately following surgery and then weekly for 12 weeks following surgery. They found that there was a complete restoration of cartilage and bone with healthy hyaline tissue closely resembling age-matched controls, whereas only fibrous tissue was found in the buffered-solution control group. Khatab et al. found similar results in a mouse model of collagenase-induced OA [133]. Intra-articular injections of MSC-EVs or MSCs improved pain compared to the control group and did not cause AC damage. Interestingly, there was no difference between the effects of MSC-EVs and MSCs, suggesting that MSC-EVs may provide a convenient and effective alternative to traditional MSCs for the treatment of OA without the same regulatory hurdles as whole-cell treatments.

Collectively, results to date show promise and suggest that the immunomodulatory effects of MSC-EVs may induce AC regeneration in vivo, but more studies are needed to expand these applications clinically in humans. MSC-EVs are attractive candidates for treatment because they are easier to regulate, are more stable, and pose fewer safety risks than cell-based treatments, such as microvascular occlusion, compared with other cell-based treatments [168,169].

5. Cellular Senescence and Senolytic Agents

5.1. Mechanisms and Stimuli for Cellular Senescence in Chronic Inflammation

Cellular senescence was first observed by Hayflick et al. in 1961 and was initially thought to be an in vitro phenomenon where cultured human fibroblasts lost their ability to replicate [170,171]. However, recent research has demonstrated that cellular senescence includes a diverse set of cellular states that are caused by significant cellular stress such as DNA damage or telomere erosion [7,172]. This leads to the arrest of the cell cycle and the ability to impact local environments through the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [7,172]. It is believed that cellular senescence evolved as a mechanism to prevent unregulated cell replication, such as cancer, but similar to unregulated inflammation, persistent or overwhelming senescence can have significant adverse effects on the musculoskeletal system. SASP can likewise have both positive and detrimental effects on the organism, depending on the host environment [173]. It can combat cancer by inducing a pro-inflammatory environment and even triggering senescence in neighboring cells [174–177], and yet concurrently, the SASP can promote tumorigenesis and lead to chronic inflammation [178–180]. Therefore, cellular senescence is a delicate balance, with slight alterations having the potential to cause widespread changes and states of disease.

Cellular senescence can be triggered through two major signaling pathways: the p53/p21 pathway and the p16INK4a/Rb pathway [163]. Previous studies have observed higher expression of p16 in older chondrocytes in both mice and humans, and selective elimination of p16 has been shown to improve the life span of mice [181,182]. The p53/p21 pathway is activated in response to DNA damage or other stresses and leads to the activation of the p21 protein, which inhibits cell cycle progression [183]. The p16INK4a/Rb pathway is activated by DNA damage, oxidative stress, telomere shortening, inflammation, and aging [184,185]. Activation of p16INK4a inhibits cell cycle progression and promotes senescence by preventing the inactivation of Rb by CDK4 or CDK6 [186]. While both pathways are activated by stress, the p16/pRB pathway has largely been found to be more irreversible [186]. Senescent cells activate various anti-apoptotic pathways (SCAPs) such as B cell lymphoma family inhibitors, PI3K/Akt pathways, p53/p21Cip1/serpine pathways, HIF-1 α , and HSP-90 to protect themselves from proapoptotic SASP molecules [179,187,188].

Furthermore, studies have previously shown that a deficiency in autophagy can lead to senescence in articular chondrocytes [189–191]. The mTOR signaling pathway is activated downstream of PI3 kinase and Akt kinase to inhibit autophagy. Overexpression of mTOR has been observed in chondrocytes of OA patients and mouse models [192,193], and the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway has been shown to regulate chondrocyte death in a rat model, thus implicating this pathway in the pathogenesis of OA [194,195]. Increased autophagy has been shown to postpone cellular senescence by inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. Beclin-1 is a key protein in the pathway, and it promotes the formation of autophagosomes to induce autophagy by inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway [196]. Pitx1 has also been associated with cartilage degeneration, and a recent study found that overexpression of Pitx1 inhibits chondrocyte senescence by promoting autophagy by increasing SIRT1 and Beclin-1, thus inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway [197,198]. Overall, there is a complex network of signaling pathways involved in cellular senescence and OA progression. Understanding these pathways and identifying potential targets for intervention may assist in mitigating the progression of OA.

On this basis, cellular senescence is a process closely tied to aging and chronic inflammation. It arises from cellular stress such as oxidative stress, DNA damage, and oncogene expression [199–201]. Oxidative stress causes the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within cells, which can damage cellular components such as DNA and proteins, so cellular senescence may have evolved to curtail the propagation of damaged cells. Indeed, researchers have observed that an increase in intracellular ROS can stimulate senescence in fibroblasts [202,203]. Similarly, direct DNA damage can also lead to senescence, but it can also cause apoptosis depending on a myriad of factors [204]. While apoptotic cells can be induced by similar stimuli, they will die and no longer be a factor; in contrast, senescent cells persist with an altered phenotype, which can contribute to the development and progression of musculoskeletal diseases. Specifically, the SASP has been implicated in perpetuating inflammation through the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and GM-CSF, among others [199]. These cytokines upregulate inflammation in surrounding tissues and also induce further senescence in neighboring cells. As a result, cellular senescence and chronic inflammation form a continuous cycle that leads to progressive degeneration and tissue destruction.

5.2. Senolytic Agents and Their Functionality in Cellular Senescence Clearance, Anti-Fibrotic, and Anti-Inflammatory Therapeutic Modalities

As the harmful effects of cellular senescence become better understood, there is an emerging need for therapeutics to either reduce SASP or remove senescent cells [205,206]. In a landmark proof-of-concept paper, Baker et al. demonstrated in 2011 that selectively eliminating senescent cells via a drug-inducible "suicide gene" improved age-related conditions in mice, including exercise intolerance, lordokyphosis, and cataracts, both in the early and late stages of life [207]. Later in 2015, Zhu et al. identified several drugs with senolytic activity, including the SRC/tyrosine kinase inhibitor dasatinib and the flavonoid quercetin [187]. While each of these drugs had senolytic activity on its own, the combination (commonly referred to as D + Q) had the greatest effect, showing increased longevity in mice and delayed onset of age-related conditions such as osteoporosis and loss of intervertebral disk proteoglycans, without any apparent adverse effects. Zhu et al. later identified additional senolytic agents, including the flavonoid Fisetin (F) and the BCL-2/BCL-W/BCL-X_L inhibitor, navitoclax (N) [208,209]. The discovery of these various agents has led to a series of studies examining their effects alone or in combination.

The harmful effects of senescent cells are believed to occur only when the level of senescence in an organism reaches a certain point, and they are time-dependent post-injury. In fact, the involvement of senescent cells early (acute) after the injury might be helpful for the repair process, while the involvement of senescent cells in chronic inflammation can be deleterious to the healing process. This so-called "Threshold Theory" of cellular senescence was observed by Xu et al., who found that the number of transplanted senescent cells

needed to induce an age-like phenotype in mice was smaller in older mice than in younger ones [210]. They postulated that the reason for this effect is that while senescence in isolation or small amounts is an evolutionary response to limit tumorigenesis, in larger quantities, senescent cells can induce senescence in healthy cells even at a distance. Once senescence reaches this critical threshold, it enters a positive feedback loop, increasing the number of senescent cells and the harmful effects of the SASP. Moreover, Xu et al. demonstrated that this aging phenotype could be reversed through the intermittent administration of senolytics (D + Q), resulting in increased survival and reduced mortality hazard, suggesting that the level of senescence could be reduced to sub-threshold levels to alleviate its harmful effects [210].

Senolytics are under active investigation in a variety of fields and have been shown to have beneficial effects on diseases such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, cardiac disease, vascular disease, chronic kidney disease, diabetes, cancer, and COVID-19, among others [211,212]. In the musculoskeletal sector, there have been recent advances that highlight the potential of senolytics in the treatment of various musculoskeletal pathologies, including OA (Table 1). In a mouse model of age-related osteoporosis, the administration of D + Q led to a lower number of senescent cells, lower osteoclast activity, and higher femur cortical thickness [131]. In a mouse model of age-related muscle loss, mice that received D + Q had larger muscles and muscle fibers after 14 days of mechanical overload, suggesting that senolytics can improve muscle growth in older mice [213]. Fisetin has also been shown to have a beneficial effect on various musculoskeletal diseases, including osteoporosis, OA, and muscular dystrophies [214]. In a mouse model of osteoporosis, Fisetin improved bone mineral density [127,136], and in a mouse model of OA, it reduced cartilage degeneration, decreased subchondral bone plate thickness, and improved synovitis without causing any adverse effects [132]. In a mouse model of dystrophic muscle, treatment with Fisetin reduced the number of senescent macrophages and increased the number of healthy muscle cells, improving muscle phenotypes [215]. These results suggest that senescent macrophages may play a significant role in the development of muscle dystrophy by impacting the function of muscle stem cells and that the senolytic elimination of these cells may be a promising therapeutic strategy. We have recently shown that the elimination of senescent cells with senolytic treatment (Fisetin) can improve bone health in a progeria animal model that develops premature osteoporosis [40]. Together, these results suggest that senolytics may have the potential for use in the treatment of human age-related musculoskeletal disorders, including OA.

While senolytics have shown promise in the treatment of musculoskeletal diseases in animals, there have been limited applications in human trials. A phase 2 clinical trial of the senolytic UBX0101 found no significant improvement in pain or function for knee OA patients compared to the control group [216]. However, several studies have reported that UBX0101 only has weakly senolytic effects, so its use as the sole agent in this clinical trial may not have been sufficiently senolytic to produce an observable effect [42,212,217,218]. Additionally, multiple foods have been shown to have anti-inflammatory, anti-aging, and senolytic properties, such as resveratrol found in grapes and red wine and the spice turmeric [219,220]. Research into the effects of senolytics is still in its early stages, and more studies are needed to fully evaluate their potential and safety profile. Several clinical trials are currently underway examining the effects of senolytics on aging, cartilage degeneration, and OA [221–224]. The results of these studies will provide valuable insight into this expanding field.

6. MSCs and Senolytics: Combinatory Therapeutic Paradigms

Despite advances within the fields of MSCs and senolytics, there has been little research examining their combined effects. One of the challenges to the use of expanded MSCs is the presence of cellular senescence. The senescence of MSCs has been observed to limit their anti-inflammatory effects and even contribute to a pro-inflammatory state [225]. Malaise et al. showed that senescent MSCs were able to induce AC breakdown in mice, suggesting

that senescent MSCs may play a role in the development of degenerative joint disease [226]. This raises the question of the effectiveness of MSC-based therapies when the MSC donor is older, as older patients with an increased number of senescent MSCs could experience negative effects from using that population of cells. Wang et al. observed this phenomenon in a murine model, where the implantation of MSCs from old donors caused physical dysfunction but not from young donors [227]. They further identified a subset of cells in the old donor group that had an abundance of senescent cells, suggesting that the observed dysfunction was due to the presence of senescent MSCs. Senescent MSCs, therefore, have reduced therapeutic potential and may even be harmful if transplanted into patients. We have also observed that during the expansion of MSCs isolated from adipose tissue and bone marrow, accumulation of senescent cells occurs [228].

The use of senolytics with MSCs has the potential to improve the host's condition and the efficacy of MSCs. There are several possibilities for the use of senolytics with MSCs (Figure 2). One possible application is to use senolytics in a host before MSC harvest to decrease the number of senescent MSCs and improve the yield and potency of the harvested cells. To our knowledge, no studies have yet been performed that analyze the yield and potency of MSCs harvested from a senolytic-treated host.



Figure 2. Effects of MSCs, MSC-derived EVs, and senolytic agents in the OA joint.

Another possibility is to treat harvested MSCs with senolytics during expansion and prior to reimplantation. Zhou et al. showed that treating MSCs from old mice with D + Q improved their osteogenic capacity [229]. They incubated the MSCs derived from younger or older mice with D + Q for 1 day before re-implantation and found that osteogenic activity was improved; moreover, D + Q only improved the osteogenic capacity for the MSCs from

old mice and not those from young mice, suggesting that this improved function was due to the clearance of senescent cells.

A final option would be to use senolytics and MSCs in combination in a host to synergistically amplify their anti-inflammatory and regenerative effects. Both senolytics and MSCs have been shown to have therapeutic effects for musculoskeletal conditions, so their combination is likely to be effective as well. Senolytics could be used to clear senescent cells from the host and remove any potentially harmful senescent MSCs, while MSCs could be used to repair damaged tissues. This combination may be particularly useful in treating musculoskeletal conditions related to aging with chronic inflammation, as it could both improve the host's condition and enhance the therapeutic potential of MSCs. The timing, volumes, and modes of administration will be critical to understanding the utility of this combination.

7. Conclusions

MSCs, MSC-derived EVs, and senolytic agents show exciting potential for curtailing inflammation and may provide a novel treatment approach for OA (Figure 2). While significant work is underway examining each of these agents, there is a paucity of research examining them in combination. Preliminary studies suggest that senolytics can increase the yield and therapeutic activity of MSCs, but more research is needed to fully understand the potential of using these two modalities together. Further work is needed to deepen the understanding of the beneficial effects of MSCs, MSC-derived EVs, and senolytics and their synergies to establish appropriate dosing and potential risks. Ultimately, the use of MSCs and senolytics is a promising future direction of regenerative medicine to help slow down the progression of aging-related diseases, such as OA.

Author Contributions: M.G.R. wrote the initial draft and completed all subsequent edits. Both T.M.B. and D.K. were heavily involved in the editing and writing processes, ensuring the manuscript was clear and accurate. J.H., M.P., F.H., Z.D., L.D.K. and J.M.H. contributed to the paper by editing and proofreading, guaranteeing its quality. A.J.G. edited the manuscript and authored the genetics section, offering valuable expertise on the subject matter. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Institutes of Health, grant numbers 5R21AR080388-02, 1R21AR080270-01, and UG3AR077748-01.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Soffer Family Foundation and the DRI Foundation for their generous funding support. These funding sources were not involved in any step of the study's design, collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Serhan, C.N.; Ward, P.A.; Gilroy, D.W. *Fundamentals of Inflammation*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2010; ISBN 978-0-521-88729-8.
- Celsus, De Medicina, Book III, Chapter 3. Available online: https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Cels.%203.3 &lang=original (accessed on 15 October 2022).
- Eming, S.A.; Martin, P.; Tomic-Canic, M. Wound repair and regeneration: Mechanisms, signaling, and translation. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2014, *6*, 265sr6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Klein-Wieringa, I.R.; de Lange-Brokaar, B.J.E.; Yusuf, E.; Andersen, S.N.; Kwekkeboom, J.C.; Kroon, H.M.; van Osch, G.J.V.M.; Zuurmond, A.-M.; Stojanovic-Susulic, V.; Nelissen, R.G.H.H.; et al. Inflammatory Cells in Patients with Endstage Knee Osteoarthritis: A Comparison between the Synovium and the Infrapatellar Fat Pad. *J. Rheumatol.* 2016, 43, 771–778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Loeser, R.F.; Goldring, S.R.; Scanzello, C.R.; Goldring, M.B. Osteoarthritis: A Disease of the Joint as an Organ. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2012, 64, 1697–1707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Mathiessen, A.; Conaghan, P.G. Synovitis in osteoarthritis: Current understanding with therapeutic implications. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **2017**, *19*, 18. [CrossRef]
- Wan, M.; Gray-Gaillard, E.F.; Elisseeff, J.H. Cellular senescence in musculoskeletal homeostasis, diseases, and regeneration. *Bone Res.* 2021, 9, 41. [CrossRef]
- 8. Fu, S.-C.; Rolf, C.; Cheuk, Y.-C.; Lui, P.P.; Chan, K.-M. Deciphering the pathogenesis of tendinopathy: A three-stages process. *Sports Med. Arthrosc. Rehabil. Ther. Technol.* **2010**, *2*, 30. [CrossRef]
- Eming, S.A.; Wynn, T.A.; Martin, P. Inflammation and metabolism in tissue repair and regeneration. *Science* 2017, 356, 1026–1030. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gallo, J.; Raska, M.; Kriegova, E.; Goodman, S.B. Inflammation and its resolution and the musculoskeletal system. *J. Orthop. Transl.* 2017, *10*, 52–67. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Zhao, W.; Ransohoff, R.M.; Zhou, L. Infiltrating macrophages are broadly activated at the early stage to support acute skeletal muscle injury repair. J. Neuroimmunol. 2018, 317, 55–66. [CrossRef]
- Ogle, M.E.; Segar, C.E.; Sridhar, S.; Botchwey, E.A. Monocytes and macrophages in tissue repair: Implications for immunoregenerative biomaterial design. *Exp. Biol. Med.* 2016, 241, 1084–1097. [CrossRef]
- 13. DeLeo, F.R.; Allen, L.-A.H. Phagocytosis and neutrophil extracellular traps. Fac. Rev. 2020, 9, 25. [CrossRef]
- 14. Koh, T.J.; DiPietro, L.A. Inflammation and wound healing: The role of the macrophage. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* **2011**, *13*, e23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Shapouri-Moghaddam, A.; Mohammadian, S.; Vazini, H.; Taghadosi, M.; Esmaeili, S.-A.; Mardani, F.; Seifi, B.; Mohammadi, A.; Afshari, J.T.; Sahebkar, A. Macrophage plasticity, polarization, and function in health and disease. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2018**, 233, 6425–6440. [CrossRef]
- Saclier, M.; Cuvellier, S.; Magnan, M.; Mounier, R.; Chazaud, B. Monocyte/macrophage interactions with myogenic precursor cells during skeletal muscle regeneration. *FEBS J.* 2013, 280, 4118–4130. [CrossRef]
- Arnold, L.; Henry, A.; Poron, F.; Baba-Amer, Y.; van Rooijen, N.; Plonquet, A.; Gherardi, R.K.; Chazaud, B. Inflammatory monocytes recruited after skeletal muscle injury switch into antiinflammatory macrophages to support myogenesis. *J. Exp. Med.* 2007, 204, 1057–1069. [CrossRef]
- Perandini, L.A.; Chimin, P.; Lutkemeyer, D.d.S.; Câmara, N.O.S. Chronic inflammation in skeletal muscle impairs satellite cells function during regeneration: Can physical exercise restore the satellite cell niche? *FEBS J.* 2018, 285, 1973–1984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Foster, W.; Deasy, B.M.; Chan, Y.; Prisk, V.; Tang, Y.; Cummins, J.; Huard, J. Transforming growth factor-beta1 induces the differentiation of myogenic cells into fibrotic cells in injured skeletal muscle: A key event in muscle fibrogenesis. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2004, 164, 1007–1019. [CrossRef]
- Pineda-Moncusí, M.; Dernie, F.; Dell'Isola, A.; Kamps, A.; Runhaar, J.; Swain, S.; Zhang, W.; Englund, M.; Pitsillidou, I.; Strauss, V.Y.; et al. Classification of patients with osteoarthritis through clusters of comorbidities using 633,330 individuals from Spain. *Rheumatology* 2022. [CrossRef]
- 21. Harrison, M.H.M.; Schajowicz, F.; Trueta, J. Osteoarthritis of the hip: A study of the nature and evolution of the disease. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* **1953**, *35*, 598–626. [CrossRef]
- Greif, D.N.; Kouroupis, D.; Murdock, C.J.; Griswold, A.J.; Kaplan, L.D.; Best, T.M.; Correa, D. Infrapatellar Fat Pad/Synovium Complex in Early-Stage Knee Osteoarthritis: Potential New Target and Source of Therapeutic Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2020, *8*, 860. [CrossRef]
- Scanzello, C.R.; McKeon, B.; Swaim, B.H.; DiCarlo, E.; Asomugha, E.U.; Kanda, V.; Nair, A.; Lee, D.M.; Richmond, J.C.; Katz, J.N.; et al. Synovial inflammation in patients undergoing arthroscopic meniscectomy: Molecular characterization and relationship to symptoms. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2011, 63, 391–400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krasnokutsky, S.; Belitskaya-Lévy, I.; Bencardino, J.; Samuels, J.; Attur, M.; Regatte, R.; Rosenthal, P.; Greenberg, J.; Schweitzer, M.; Abramson, S.B.; et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging evidence of synovial proliferation is associated with radiographic severity of knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2011, 63, 2983–2991. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ayral, X.; Pickering, E.H.; Woodworth, T.G.; Mackillop, N.; Dougados, M. Synovitis: A potential predictive factor of structural progression of medial tibiofemoral knee osteoarthritis—Results of a 1 year longitudinal arthroscopic study in 422 patients. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2005**, *13*, 361–367. [CrossRef]
- 26. Scanzello, C.R.; Goldring, S.R. The role of synovitis in osteoarthritis pathogenesis. Bone 2012, 51, 249–257. [CrossRef]
- Heilmeier, U.; Mamoto, K.; Amano, K.; Eck, B.; Tanaka, M.; Bullen, J.A.; Schwaiger, B.J.; Huebner, J.L.; Stabler, T.V.; Kraus, V.B.; et al. Infrapatellar fat pad abnormalities are associated with a higher inflammatory synovial fluid cytokine profile in young adults following ACL tear. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2020, 28, 82–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Clockaerts, S.; Bastiaansen-Jenniskens, Y.M.; Runhaar, J.; Van Osch, G.J.V.M.; Van Offel, J.F.; Verhaar, J.A.N.; De Clerck, L.S.; Somville, J. The infrapatellar fat pad should be considered as an active osteoarthritic joint tissue: A narrative review. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2010, 18, 876–882. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- De Boer, T.N.; van Spil, W.E.; Huisman, A.M.; Polak, A.A.; Bijlsma, J.W.J.; Lafeber, F.P.J.G.; Mastbergen, S.C. Serum adipokines in osteoarthritis; comparison with controls and relationship with local parameters of synovial inflammation and cartilage damage. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2012, 20, 846–853. [CrossRef]
- Beekhuizen, M.; Gierman, L.M.; van Spil, W.E.; Van Osch, G.J.V.M.; Huizinga, T.W.J.; Saris, D.B.F.; Creemers, L.B.; Zuurmond, A.-M. An explorative study comparing levels of soluble mediators in control and osteoarthritic synovial fluid. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2013, 21, 918–922. [CrossRef]
- 31. Toussirot, E.; Streit, G.; Wendling, D. The contribution of adipose tissue and adipokines to inflammation in joint diseases. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *14*, 1095–1100. [CrossRef]
- 32. Dumond, H.; Presle, N.; Terlain, B.; Mainard, D.; Loeuille, D.; Netter, P.; Pottie, P. Evidence for a key role of leptin in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* **2003**, *48*, 3118–3129. [CrossRef]
- 33. Bao, J.; Chen, W.; Feng, J.; Hu, P.; Shi, Z.; Wu, L. Leptin plays a catabolic role on articular cartilage. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2010, 37, 3265–3272. [CrossRef]
- Matarese, G.; Leiter, E.H.; La Cava, A. Leptin in autoimmunity: Many questions, some answers. *Tissue Antigens* 2007, 70, 87–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schnoor, M.; Alcaide, P.; Voisin, M.-B.; van Buul, J.D. Recruitment of Immune Cells into Inflamed Tissues: Consequences for Endothelial Barrier Integrity and Tissue Functionality. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2016, 2016, e1561368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Apinun, J.; Sengprasert, P.; Yuktanandana, P.; Ngarmukos, S.; Tanavalee, A.; Reantragoon, R. Immune Mediators in Osteoarthritis: Infrapatellar Fat Pad-Infiltrating CD8+ T Cells Are Increased in Osteoarthritic Patients with Higher Clinical Radiographic Grading. Int. J. Rheumatol. 2016, 2016, e9525724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farr, J.N.; Fraser, D.G.; Wang, H.; Jaehn, K.; Ogrodnik, M.B.; Weivoda, M.M.; Drake, M.T.; Tchkonia, T.; LeBrasseur, N.K.; Kirkland, J.L.; et al. Identification of Senescent Cells in the Bone Microenvironment. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2016, 31, 1920–1929. [CrossRef]
- Piemontese, M.; Almeida, M.; Robling, A.G.; Kim, H.-N.; Xiong, J.; Thostenson, J.D.; Weinstein, R.S.; Manolagas, S.C.; O'Brien, C.A.; Jilka, R.L. Old age causes de novo intracortical bone remodeling and porosity in mice. *JCI Insight* 2017, 2, e93771. [CrossRef]
- Kim, H.-N.; Xiong, J.; MacLeod, R.S.; Iyer, S.; Fujiwara, Y.; Cawley, K.M.; Han, L.; He, Y.; Thostenson, J.D.; Ferreira, E.; et al. Osteocyte RANKL is required for cortical bone loss with age and is induced by senescence. JCI Insight 2020, 5, e138815. [CrossRef]
- Hambright, W.S.; Mu, X.; Gao, X.; Guo, P.; Kawakami, Y.; Mitchell, J.; Mullen, M.; Nelson, A.-L.; Bahney, C.; Nishimura, H.; et al. The Senolytic Drug Fisetin Attenuates Bone Degeneration in the *Zmpste24^{-/-}* Progeria Mouse Model. *J. Osteoporos.* 2023, 2023, e5572754. [CrossRef]
- Jeon, O.H.; David, N.; Campisi, J.; Elisseeff, J.H. Senescent cells and osteoarthritis: A painful connection. J. Clin. Investig. 2018, 128, 1229–1237. [CrossRef]
- Faust, H.J.; Zhang, H.; Han, J.; Wolf, M.T.; Jeon, O.H.; Sadtler, K.; Peña, A.N.; Chung, L.; Maestas, D.R.; Tam, A.J.; et al. IL-17 and immunologically induced senescence regulate response to injury in osteoarthritis. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2020, 130, 5493–5507. [CrossRef]
- Jeon, O.H.; Wilson, D.R.; Clement, C.C.; Rathod, S.; Cherry, C.; Powell, B.; Lee, Z.; Khalil, A.M.; Green, J.J.; Campisi, J.; et al. Senescence cell–associated extracellular vesicles serve as osteoarthritis disease and therapeutic markers. *JCI Insight* 2019, 4, e125019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Price, J.S.; Waters, J.G.; Darrah, C.; Pennington, C.; Edwards, D.R.; Donell, S.T.; Clark, I.M. The role of chondrocyte senescence in osteoarthritis. *Aging Cell* 2002, *1*, 57–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, C.-J.; Liu, R.-X.; Huan, S.-W.; Tang, W.; Zeng, Y.-K.; Zhang, J.-C.; Yang, J.; Li, Z.-Y.; Zhou, Y.; Zha, Z.-G.; et al. Senescent skeletal cells cross-talk with synovial cells plays a key role in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2022, 24, 59. [CrossRef]
- Jeon, O.H.; Kim, C.; Laberge, R.-M.; Demaria, M.; Rathod, S.; Vasserot, A.P.; Chung, J.W.; Kim, D.H.; Poon, Y.; David, N.; et al. Local clearance of senescent cells attenuates the development of post-traumatic osteoarthritis and creates a pro-regenerative environment. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 775–781. [CrossRef]
- 47. Yu, S.-M.; Kim, S.-J. Thymoquinone-induced reactive oxygen species causes apoptosis of chondrocytes via PI3K/Akt and p38kinase pathway. *Exp. Biol. Med.* **2013**, *238*, 811–820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, X.; Wei, X.; Mu, Y.; Li, Q.; Liu, J. A review of the mechanism of the central analgesic effect of lidocaine. *Medicine* 2020, 99, e19898. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Smith, H.S. Potential analgesic mechanisms of acetaminophen. Pain Physician 2009, 12, 269–280. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ghanem, C.I.; Pérez, M.J.; Manautou, J.E.; Mottino, A.D. Acetaminophen from liver to brain: New insights into drug pharmacological action and toxicity. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2016, 109, 119–131. [CrossRef]
- 51. Ramamoorthy, S.; Cidlowski, J.A. Corticosteroids-Mechanisms of Action in Health and Disease. *Rheum. Dis. Clin. N. Am.* 2016, 42, 15–31. [CrossRef]
- 52. Ayhan, E.; Kesmezacar, H.; Akgun, I. Intraarticular injections (corticosteroid, hyaluronic acid, platelet rich plasma) for the knee osteoarthritis. *World J. Orthop.* 2014, *5*, 351–361. [CrossRef]
- 53. Vane, J.R. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirin-like drugs. *Nat. New Biol.* **1971**, 231, 232–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 54. Verma, R.; Kumar, S.; Garg, P.; Verma, Y.K. Platelet-rich plasma: A comparative and economical therapy for wound healing and tissue regeneration. *Cell Tissue Bank.* **2022**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Dhurat, R.; Sukesh, M. Principles and Methods of Preparation of Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Review and Author's Perspective. *J. Cutan. Aesthetic Surg.* **2014**, *7*, 189–197. [CrossRef]
- 56. Gato-Calvo, L.; Magalhaes, J.; Ruiz-Romero, C.; Blanco, F.J.; Burguera, E.F. Platelet-rich plasma in osteoarthritis treatment: Review of current evidence. *Ther. Adv. Chronic Dis.* **2019**, *10*, 2040622319825567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Kompel, A.J.; Roemer, F.W.; Murakami, A.M.; Diaz, L.E.; Crema, M.D.; Guermazi, A. Intra-articular Corticosteroid Injections in the Hip and Knee: Perhaps Not as Safe as We Thought? *Radiology* **2019**, *293*, 656–663. [CrossRef]
- Marcum, Z.A.; Hanlon, J.T. Recognizing the Risks of Chronic Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug Use in Older Adults. Ann. Long-Term Care Off. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 2010, 18, 24–27.
- 59. Buchman, A.L. Side effects of corticosteroid therapy. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2001, 33, 289–294. [CrossRef]
- 60. Chandler, G.N.; Wright, V. Deleterious effect of intra-articular hydrocortisone. Lancet Lond. Engl. 1958, 2, 661–663. [CrossRef]
- 61. Meyer, W.L.; Kunin, A.S. Decreased glycolytic enzyme activity in epiphyseal cartilage of cortisone-treated rats. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1969**, *129*, 431–437. [CrossRef]
- 62. Mankin, H.J.; Conger, K.A. The acute effects of intra-articular hydrocortisone on articular cartilage in rabbits. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **1966**, *48*, 1383–1388. [CrossRef]
- Yang, X.; Li, L.; Ren, X.; Nie, L. Do preoperative intra-articular injections of corticosteroids or hyaluronic acid increase the risk of infection after total knee arthroplasty? A meta-analysis. *Bone Jt. Res.* 2022, 11, 171–179. [CrossRef]
- 64. Nie, F.; Li, W. Impact of Prior Intra-articular Injections on the Risk of Prosthetic Joint Infection Following Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front. Surg.* **2021**, *8*, 737529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Skou, S.T.; Roos, E.M. Physical therapy for patients with knee and hip osteoarthritis: Supervised, active treatment is current best practice. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* **2019**, *37*, 112–117.
- Dantas, L.O.; Salvini, T.d.F.; McAlindon, T.E. Knee osteoarthritis: Key treatments and implications for physical therapy. *Braz. J. Phys. Ther.* 2021, 25, 135–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bennell, K.L.; Egerton, T.; Martin, J.; Abbott, J.H.; Metcalf, B.; McManus, F.; Sims, K.; Pua, Y.-H.; Wrigley, T.V.; Forbes, A.; et al. Effect of Physical Therapy on Pain and Function in Patients With Hip Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2014, 311, 1987–1997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Weinstein, A.M.; Rome, B.N.; Reichmann, W.M.; Collins, J.E.; Burbine, S.A.; Thornhill, T.S.; Wright, J.; Katz, J.N.; Losina, E. Estimating the Burden of Total Knee Replacement in the United States. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **2013**, *95*, 385–392. [CrossRef]
- 69. Reynard, L.N. Analysis of genetics and DNA methylation in osteoarthritis: What have we learnt about the disease? *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2017, *62*, 57–66. [CrossRef]
- 70. Peach, C.A.; Carr, A.J.; Loughlin, J. Recent advances in the genetic investigation of osteoarthritis. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2005, 11, 186–191. [CrossRef]
- Loughlin, J. The genetic epidemiology of human primary osteoarthritis: Current status. Expert Rev. Mol. Med. 2005, 7, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 72. Valdes, A.M.; Spector, T.D. Genetic epidemiology of hip and knee osteoarthritis. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 2011, 7, 23–32. [CrossRef]
- 73. Young, D.A.; Barter, M.J.; Soul, J. Osteoarthritis year in review: Genetics, genomics, epigenetics. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2022, 30, 216–225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Tachmazidou, I.; Hatzikotoulas, K.; Southam, L.; Esparza-Gordillo, J.; Haberland, V.; Zheng, J.; Johnson, T.; Koprulu, M.; Zengini, E.; Steinberg, J.; et al. Identification of new therapeutic targets for osteoarthritis through genome-wide analyses of UK Biobank data. *Nat. Genet.* 2019, *51*, 230–236. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Soul, J.; Barter, M.J.; Little, C.B.; Young, D.A. OATargets: A knowledge base of genes associated with osteoarthritis joint damage in animals. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2021**, *80*, 376–383. [CrossRef]
- 76. Tuerlings, M.; van Hoolwerff, M.; Houtman, E.; Suchiman, E.H.E.D.; Lakenberg, N.; Mei, H.; van der Linden, E.H.M.J.; Nelissen, R.R.G.H.H.; Ramos, Y.Y.F.M.; Coutinho de Almeida, R.; et al. RNA Sequencing Reveals Interacting Key Determinants of Osteoarthritis Acting in Subchondral Bone and Articular Cartilage: Identification of IL11 and CHADL as Attractive Treatment Targets. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2021, 73, 789–799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Griswold, A.J.; Perez, J.; Nuytemans, K.; Strong, T.A.; Wang, L.; Vance, D.D.; Ennis, H.; Smith, M.K.; Best, T.M.; Vance, J.M.; et al. Transcriptomic analysis of synovial extracellular RNA following knee trauma: A pilot study. J. Orthop. Res. 2018, 36, 1659–1665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Steinberg, J.; Southam, L.; Roumeliotis, T.I.; Clark, M.J.; Jayasuriya, R.L.; Swift, D.; Shah, K.M.; Butterfield, N.C.; Brooks, R.A.; McCaskie, A.W.; et al. A molecular quantitative trait locus map for osteoarthritis. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 1309. [CrossRef]
- Sunkara, V.; Heinz, G.A.; Heinrich, F.F.; Durek, P.; Mobasheri, A.; Mashreghi, M.-F.; Lang, A. Combining segmental bulk- and single-cell RNA-sequencing to define the chondrocyte gene expression signature in the murine knee joint. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2021, 29, 905–914. [CrossRef]
- 80. Kouroupis, D.; Best, T.M.; Kaplan, L.D.; Correa, D.; Griswold, A.J. Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing Identifies Infrapatellar Fat Pad Macrophage Polarization in Acute Synovitis/Fat Pad Fibrosis and Cell Therapy. *Bioengineering* **2021**, *8*, 166. [CrossRef]
- Iyer, S.S.; Rojas, M. Anti-inflammatory effects of mesenchymal stem cells: Novel concept for future therapies. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 2008, *8*, 569–581. [CrossRef]

- Friedenstein, A.J.; Chailakhyan, R.K.; Latsinik, N.V.; Panasyuk, A.F.; Keiliss-Borok, I.V. Stromal cells responsible for transferring the microenvironment of the hemopoietic tissues. Cloning in vitro and retransplantation in vivo. *Transplantation* 1974, 17, 331–340. [CrossRef]
- 83. Caplan, A.I. New MSC: MSCs as pericytes are Sentinels and gatekeepers. J. Orthop. Res. 2017, 35, 1151–1159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kouroupis, D.; Correa, D. Increased Mesenchymal Stem Cell Functionalization in Three-Dimensional Manufacturing Settings for Enhanced Therapeutic Applications. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2021, 9, 621748. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Song, N.; Scholtemeijer, M.; Shah, K. Mesenchymal Stem Cell Immunomodulation: Mechanisms and Therapeutic Potential. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2020**, *41*, 653–664. [CrossRef]
- Ren, G.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, J.; L'Huillier, A.; Ling, W.; Roberts, A.I.; Le, A.D.; Shi, S.; Shao, C.; et al. Inflammatory Cytokine-Induced Intercellular Adhesion Molecule-1 and Vascular Cell Adhesion Molecule-1 in Mesenchymal Stem Cells Are Critical for Immunosuppression. J. Immunol. 2010, 184, 2321–2328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Zhou, Y.; Yamamoto, Y.; Xiao, Z.; Ochiya, T. The Immunomodulatory Functions of Mesenchymal Stromal/Stem Cells Mediated via Paracrine Activity. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, 1025. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Caplan, A.I.; Correa, D. The MSC: An injury drugstore. Cell Stem Cell 2011, 9, 11–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Turvey, S.E.; Broide, D.H. Innate immunity. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2010, 125, S24–S32. [CrossRef]
- 90. Glenn, J.D.; Whartenby, K.A. Mesenchymal stem cells: Emerging mechanisms of immunomodulation and therapy. *World J. Stem Cells* **2014**, *6*, 526–539. [CrossRef]
- Maggini, J.; Mirkin, G.; Bognanni, I.; Holmberg, J.; Piazzón, I.M.; Nepomnaschy, I.; Costa, H.; Cañones, C.; Raiden, S.; Vermeulen, M.; et al. Mouse bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells turn activated macrophages into a regulatory-like profile. *PLoS ONE* 2010, 5, e9252. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Q.-Z.; Su, W.-R.; Shi, S.-H.; Wilder-Smith, P.; Xiang, A.P.; Wong, A.; Nguyen, A.L.; Kwon, C.W.; Le, A.D. Human gingiva-derived mesenchymal stem cells elicit polarization of m2 macrophages and enhance cutaneous wound healing. *Stem Cells* 2010, 28, 1856–1868. [CrossRef]
- Prockop, D.J. Concise review: Two negative feedback loops place mesenchymal stem/stromal cells at the center of early regulators of inflammation. *Stem Cells* 2013, *31*, 2042–2046. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Giunti, D.; Parodi, B.; Usai, C.; Vergani, L.; Casazza, S.; Bruzzone, S.; Mancardi, G.; Uccelli, A. Mesenchymal stem cells shape microglia effector functions through the release of CX3CL1. *Stem Cells Dayt. Ohio* 2012, 30, 2044–2053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Abumaree, M.H.; Al Jumah, M.A.; Kalionis, B.; Jawdat, D.; Al Khaldi, A.; Abomaray, F.M.; Fatani, A.S.; Chamley, L.W.; Knawy, B.A. Human Placental Mesenchymal Stem Cells (pMSCs) Play a Role as Immune Suppressive Cells by Shifting Macrophage Differentiation from Inflammatory M1 to Anti-inflammatory M2 Macrophages. *Stem Cell Rev. Rep.* 2013, *9*, 620–641. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ylöstalo, J.H.; Bartosh, T.J.; Coble, K.; Prockop, D.J. Human mesenchymal stem/stromal cells cultured as spheroids are selfactivated to produce prostaglandin E2 that directs stimulated macrophages into an anti-inflammatory phenotype. *Stem Cells* 2012, 30, 2283–2296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raffaghello, L.; Bianchi, G.; Bertolotto, M.; Montecucco, F.; Busca, A.; Dallegri, F.; Ottonello, L.; Pistoia, V. Human mesenchymal stem cells inhibit neutrophil apoptosis: A model for neutrophil preservation in the bone marrow niche. *Stem Cells* 2008, 26, 151–162. [CrossRef]
- 98. Brown, J.M.; Nemeth, K.; Kushnir-Sukhov, N.M.; Metcalfe, D.D.; Mezey, E. Bone marrow stromal cells inhibit mast cell function via a COX2-dependent mechanism. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* **2011**, *41*, 526–534. [CrossRef]
- 99. Zhao, Q.; Ren, H.; Han, Z. Mesenchymal stem cells: Immunomodulatory capability and clinical potential in immune diseases. *J. Cell. Immunother.* **2016**, *2*, 3–20. [CrossRef]
- 100. Nishizawa, K.; Seki, R. Mechanisms of immunosuppression by mesenchymal stromal cells: A review with a focus on molecules. *Biomed. Res. Clin. Pract.* **2016**, *1*, 82–96. [CrossRef]
- Bernardo, M.E.; Fibbe, W.E. Mesenchymal stromal cells: Sensors and switchers of inflammation. *Cell Stem Cell* 2013, 13, 392–402. [CrossRef]
- Prevosto, C.; Zancolli, M.; Canevali, P.; Zocchi, M.R.; Poggi, A. Generation of CD4+ or CD8+ regulatory T cells upon mesenchymal stem cell-lymphocyte interaction. *Haematologica* 2007, *92*, 881–888. [CrossRef]
- Luz-Crawford, P.; Noël, D.; Fernandez, X.; Khoury, M.; Figueroa, F.; Carrión, F.; Jorgensen, C.; Djouad, F. Mesenchymal stem cells repress Th17 molecular program through the PD-1 pathway. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e45272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yan, Z.; Zhuansun, Y.; Chen, R.; Li, J.; Ran, P. Immunomodulation of mesenchymal stromal cells on regulatory T cells and its possible mechanism. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2014, 324, 65–74. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Selmani, Z.; Naji, A.; Zidi, I.; Favier, B.; Gaiffe, E.; Obert, L.; Borg, C.; Saas, P.; Tiberghien, P.; Rouas-Freiss, N.; et al. Human leukocyte antigen-G5 secretion by human mesenchymal stem cells is required to suppress T lymphocyte and natural killer function and to induce CD4+CD25highFOXP3+ regulatory T cells. *Stem Cells* 2008, 26, 212–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rockel, J.S.; Rabani, R.; Viswanathan, S. Anti-fibrotic mechanisms of exogenously-expanded mesenchymal stromal cells for fibrotic diseases. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020, 101, 87–103. [CrossRef]
- Kouroupis, D.; Sanjurjo-Rodriguez, C.; Jones, E.; Correa, D. Mesenchymal Stem Cell Functionalization for Enhanced Therapeutic Applications. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* 2019, 25, 55–77. [CrossRef]

- Corselli, M.; Crisan, M.; Murray, I.R.; West, C.C.; Scholes, J.; Codrea, F.; Khan, N.; Péault, B. Identification of perivascular mesenchymal stromal/stem cells by flow cytometry. *Cytom. Part A* 2013, *83*, 714–720. [CrossRef]
- 109. Crisan, M.; Yap, S.; Casteilla, L.; Chen, C.-W.; Corselli, M.; Park, T.S.; Andriolo, G.; Sun, B.; Zheng, B.; Zhang, L.; et al. A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* **2008**, *3*, 301–313. [CrossRef]
- 110. Da Silva Meirelles, L.; Caplan, A.I.; Nardi, N.B. In search of the in vivo identity of mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* **2008**, *26*, 2287–2299. [CrossRef]
- 111. Schwab, K.E.; Gargett, C.E. Co-expression of two perivascular cell markers isolates mesenchymal stem-like cells from human endometrium. *Hum. Reprod.* **2007**, *22*, 2903–2911. [CrossRef]
- Gomes, J.P.; Coatti, G.C.; Valadares, M.C.; Assoni, A.F.; Pelatti, M.V.; Secco, M.; Zatz, M. Human Adipose-Derived CD146+ Stem Cells Increase Life Span of a Muscular Dystrophy Mouse Model More Efficiently than Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. DNA Cell Biol. 2018, 37, 798–804. [CrossRef]
- 113. Da Silva Meirelles, L.; Sand, T.T.; Harman, R.J.; Lennon, D.P.; Caplan, A.I. MSC Frequency Correlates with Blood Vessel Density in Equine Adipose Tissue. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2009, *15*, 221–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bowles, A.C.; Kouroupis, D.; Willman, M.A.; Perucca Orfei, C.; Agarwal, A.; Correa, D. Signature quality attributes of CD146+ mesenchymal stem/stromal cells correlate with high therapeutic and secretory potency. *Stem Cells* 2020, *38*, 1034–1049. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Maguer-Satta, V.; Besançon, R.; Bachelard-Cascales, E. Concise review: Neutral endopeptidase (CD10): A multifaceted environment actor in stem cells, physiological mechanisms, and cancer. *Stem Cells* **2011**, *29*, 389–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Kouroupis, D.; Bowles, A.C.; Willman, M.A.; Perucca Orfei, C.; Colombini, A.; Best, T.M.; Kaplan, L.D.; Correa, D. Infrapatellar fat pad-derived MSC response to inflammation and fibrosis induces an immunomodulatory phenotype involving CD10-mediated Substance P degradation. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 10864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kouroupis, D.; Bowles, A.C.; Best, T.M.; Kaplan, L.D.; Correa, D. CD10/Neprilysin Enrichment in Infrapatellar Fat Pad–Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells under Regulatory-Compliant Conditions: Implications for Efficient Synovitis and Fat Pad Fibrosis Reversal. Am. J. Sports Med. 2020, 48, 2013–2027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Pritchett, J.W. Substance P level in synovial fluid may predict pain relief after knee replacement. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Br.* **1997**, *79*, 114–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Tang, X.; Fan, L.; Pei, M.; Zeng, L.; Ge, Z. Evolving Concepts Of Chondrogenic Differentiation: History, State-Of-The-Art And Future Perspectives. *Fac. Staff Scholarsh.* **2015**, *30*, 12–27. [CrossRef]
- 120. Somoza, R.A.; Welter, J.F.; Correa, D.; Caplan, A.I. Chondrogenic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Challenges and Unfulfilled Expectations. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* **2014**, *20*, 596–608. [CrossRef]
- Meng, H.Y.-H.; Lu, V.; Khan, W. Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells as a Potential Restorative Treatment for Cartilage Defects: A PRISMA Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pharmaceuticals* 2021, 14, 1280. [CrossRef]
- 122. Correa, D.; Somoza, R.A.; Lin, P.; Greenberg, S.; Rom, E.; Duesler, L.; Welter, J.F.; Yayon, A.; Caplan, A.I. Sequential exposure to fibroblast growth factors (FGF) 2, 9 and 18 enhances hMSC chondrogenic differentiation. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2015, 23, 443–453. [CrossRef]
- 123. Kiernan, J.; Hu, S.; Grynpas, M.D.; Davies, J.E.; Stanford, W.L. Systemic Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Transplantation Prevents Functional Bone Loss in a Mouse Model of Age-Related Osteoporosis. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2016, *5*, 683–693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Toghraie, F.S.; Chenari, N.; Gholipour, M.A.; Faghih, Z.; Torabinejad, S.; Dehghani, S.; Ghaderi, A. Treatment of osteoarthritis with infrapatellar fat pad derived mesenchymal stem cells in Rabbit. *Knee* **2011**, *18*, 71–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Sato, M.; Uchida, K.; Nakajima, H.; Miyazaki, T.; Guerrero, A.R.; Watanabe, S.; Roberts, S.; Baba, H. Direct transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells into the knee joints of Hartley strain guinea pigs with spontaneous osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2012, 14, R31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Wang, G.; Xing, D.; Liu, W.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, H.; Yan, L.; Fan, K.; Liu, P.; Yu, B.; Li, J.J.; et al. Preclinical studies and clinical trials on mesenchymal stem cell therapy for knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review on models and cell doses. *Int. J. Rheum. Dis.* 2022, 25, 532–562. [CrossRef]
- 127. Léotoing, L.; Wauquier, F.; Guicheux, J.; Miot-Noirault, E.; Wittrant, Y.; Coxam, V. The Polyphenol Fisetin Protects Bone by Repressing NF-κB and MKP-1-Dependent Signaling Pathways in Osteoclasts. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e68388. [CrossRef]
- 128. Van Buul, G.M.; Siebelt, M.; Leijs, M.J.C.; Bos, P.K.; Waarsing, J.H.; Kops, N.; Weinans, H.; Verhaar, J.A.N.; Bernsen, M.R.; van Osch, G.J.V.M. Mesenchymal stem cells reduce pain but not degenerative changes in a mono-iodoacetate rat model of osteoarthritis. J. Orthop. Res. Off. Publ. Orthop. Res. Soc. 2014, 32, 1167–1174. [CrossRef]
- 129. Zhang, S.; Chu, W.C.; Lai, R.C.; Lim, S.K.; Hui, J.H.P.; Toh, W.S. Exosomes derived from human embryonic mesenchymal stem cells promote osteochondral regeneration. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* **2016**, *24*, 2135–2140. [CrossRef]
- Tao, S.-C.; Yuan, T.; Zhang, Y.-L.; Yin, W.-J.; Guo, S.-C.; Zhang, C.-Q. Exosomes derived from miR-140-5p-overexpressing human synovial mesenchymal stem cells enhance cartilage tissue regeneration and prevent osteoarthritis of the knee in a rat model. *Theranostics* 2017, 7, 180–195. [CrossRef]
- 131. Farr, J.N.; Xu, M.; Weivoda, M.M.; Monroe, D.G.; Fraser, D.G.; Onken, J.L.; Negley, B.A.; Sfeir, J.G.; Ogrodnik, M.B.; Hachfeld, C.M.; et al. Targeting cellular senescence prevents age-related bone loss in mice. *Nat. Med.* **2017**, *23*, 1072–1079. [CrossRef]

- 132. Zheng, W.; Feng, Z.; You, S.; Zhang, H.; Tao, Z.; Wang, Q.; Chen, H.; Wu, Y. Fisetin inhibits IL-1β-induced inflammatory response in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes through activating SIRT1 and attenuates the progression of osteoarthritis in mice. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2017, 45, 135–147. [CrossRef]
- Khatab, S.; van Osch, G.J.; Kops, N.; Bastiaansen-Jenniskens, Y.M.; Bos, P.K.; Verhaar, J.A.; Bernsen, M.R.; van Buul, G.M. Mesenchymal stem cell secretome reduces pain and prevents cartilage damage in a murine osteoarthritis model. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 2018, 36, 218–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ko, J.-Y.; Lee, J.; Lee, J.; Ryu, Y.H.; Im, G.-I. SOX-6, 9-Transfected Adipose Stem Cells to Treat Surgically-Induced Osteoarthritis in Goats. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2019, 25, 990–1000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qiu, B.; Xu, X.; Yi, P.; Hao, Y. Curcumin reinforces MSC-derived exosomes in attenuating osteoarthritis via modulating the miR-124/NF-kB and miR-143/ROCK1/TLR9 signalling pathways. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2020, 24, 10855–10865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Xu, C.; Liu, F.; Yang, Y.; Yuan, F.; Zhou, B.; Liu, Y. Antiosteoporotic effect of fisetin in an estrogen deficient model of osteoporosis. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* **2021**, *17*, 623.
- 137. Pak, J. Regeneration of human bones in hip osteonecrosis and human cartilage in knee osteoarthritis with autologous adiposetissue-derived stem cells: A case series. J. Med. Case Rep. 2011, 5, 296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koh, Y.-G.; Kwon, O.-R.; Kim, Y.-S.; Choi, Y.-J.; Tak, D.-H. Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells With Microfracture Versus Microfracture Alone: 2-Year Follow-up of a Prospective Randomized Trial. *Arthroscopy* 2016, 32, 97–109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 139. Freitag, J.; Shah, K.; Wickham, J.; Li, D.; Norsworthy, C.; Tenen, A. Evaluation of autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell therapy in focal chondral defects of the knee: A pilot case series. *Regen. Med.* **2020**, *15*, 1703–1717. [CrossRef]
- Freitag, J.; Wickham, J.; Shah, K.; Li, D.; Norsworthy, C.; Tenen, A. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy combined with arthroscopic abrasion arthroplasty regenerates cartilage in patients with severe knee osteoarthritis: A case series. *Regen. Med.* 2020, 15, 1957–1977. [CrossRef]
- 141. De Windt, T.S.; Vonk, L.A.; Slaper-Cortenbach, I.C.M.; van den Broek, M.P.H.; Nizak, R.; van Rijen, M.H.P.; de Weger, R.A.; Dhert, W.J.A.; Saris, D.B.F. Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells Stimulate Cartilage Regeneration and Are Safe for Single-Stage Cartilage Repair in Humans upon Mixture with Recycled Autologous Chondrons. *Stem Cells* 2017, *35*, 256–264. [CrossRef]
- 142. Vangsness, C.T.; Farr, J.; Boyd, J.; Dellaero, D.T.; Mills, C.R.; LeRoux-Williams, M. Adult human mesenchymal stem cells delivered via intra-articular injection to the knee following partial medial meniscectomy: A randomized, double-blind, controlled study. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 2014, 96, 90–98. [CrossRef]
- 143. Vega, A.; Martín-Ferrero, M.A.; Del Canto, F.; Alberca, M.; García, V.; Munar, A.; Orozco, L.; Soler, R.; Fuertes, J.J.; Huguet, M.; et al. Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis with Allogeneic Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Transplantation* 2015, 99, 1681–1690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Colombini, A.; Orfei, C.P.; Kouroupis, D.; Ragni, E.; Luca, P.D.; ViganÒ, M.; Correa, D.; Girolamo, L. de Mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of articular cartilage degeneration: New biological insights for an old-timer cell. *Cytotherapy* 2019, 21, 1179–1197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Lamo-Espinosa, J.M.; Mora, G.; Blanco, J.F.; Granero-Moltó, F.; Núñez-Córdoba, J.M.; López-Elío, S.; Andreu, E.; Sánchez-Guijo, F.; Aquerreta, J.D.; Bondía, J.M.; et al. Intra-articular injection of two different doses of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells versus hyaluronic acid in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: Long-term follow up of a multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial (phase I/II). *J. Transl. Med.* 2018, *16*, 213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Gupta, P.K.; Chullikana, A.; Rengasamy, M.; Shetty, N.; Pandey, V.; Agarwal, V.; Wagh, S.Y.; Vellotare, P.K.; Damodaran, D.; Viswanathan, P.; et al. Efficacy and safety of adult human bone marrow-derived, cultured, pooled, allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells (Stempeucel[®]): Preclinical and clinical trial in osteoarthritis of the knee joint. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2016, 18, 301. [CrossRef]
- 147. Pers, Y.-M.; Rackwitz, L.; Ferreira, R.; Pullig, O.; Delfour, C.; Barry, F.; Sensebe, L.; Casteilla, L.; Fleury, S.; Bourin, P.; et al. Adipose Mesenchymal Stromal Cell-Based Therapy for Severe Osteoarthritis of the Knee: A Phase I Dose-Escalation Trial. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2016, 5, 847–856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Song, Y.; Du, H.; Dai, C.; Zhang, L.; Li, S.; Hunter, D.J.; Lu, L.; Bao, C. Human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells for osteoarthritis: A pilot study with long-term follow-up and repeated injections. *Regen. Med.* 2018, 13, 295–307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Centeno, C.J.; Al-Sayegh, H.; Freeman, M.D.; Smith, J.; Murrell, W.D.; Bubnov, R. A multi-center analysis of adverse events among two thousand, three hundred and seventy two adult patients undergoing adult autologous stem cell therapy for orthopaedic conditions. *Int. Orthop.* 2016, 40, 1755–1765. [CrossRef]
- 150. Toh, W.S.; Zhang, B.; Lai, R.C.; Lim, S.K. Immune regulatory targets of mesenchymal stromal cell exosomes/small extracellular vesicles in tissue regeneration. *Cytotherapy* **2018**, *20*, 1419–1426. [CrossRef]
- 151. Sturiale, S.; Barbara, G.; Qiu, B.; Figini, M.; Geppetti, P.; Gerard, N.; Gerard, C.; Grady, E.F.; Bunnett, N.W.; Collins, S.M. Neutral endopeptidase (EC 3.4.24.11) terminates colitis by degrading substance P. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1999, 96, 11653–11658. [CrossRef]
- Herrmann, M.; Diederichs, S.; Melnik, S.; Riegger, J.; Trivanović, D.; Li, S.; Jenei-Lanzl, Z.; Brenner, R.E.; Huber-Lang, M.; Zaucke, F.; et al. Extracellular Vesicles in Musculoskeletal Pathologies and Regeneration. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2021, *8*, 624096. [CrossRef]

- 153. Yu, B.; Zhang, X.; Li, X. Exosomes Derived from Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2014, 15, 4142–4157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leñero, C.; Kaplan, L.D.; Best, T.M.; Kouroupis, D. CD146+ Endometrial-Derived Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cell Subpopulation Possesses Exosomal Secretomes with Strong Immunomodulatory miRNA Attributes. *Cells* 2022, 11, 4002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Kouroupis, D.; Kaplan, L.D.; Best, T.M. Human infrapatellar fat pad mesenchymal stem cells show immunomodulatory exosomal signatures. *Sci. Rep.* 2022, *12*, 3609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Bongiovanni, L.; Andriessen, A.; Wauben, M.H.M.; Hoen, E.N.M.N.; de Bruin, A. Extracellular Vesicles: Novel Opportunities to Understand and Detect Neoplastic Diseases. *Vet. Pathol.* **2021**, *58*, 453–471. [CrossRef]
- 157. Zhu, H.; Ji, J.; Fu, T.; Yang, J.; Gu, Z. The effect of exosomes from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells on osteoarthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2018**, 77, 893. [CrossRef]
- 158. Xian Bo, S.; Chen, W.; Chang, L.; Hao Ran, Y.; Hui Hui, G.; Ya Kun, Z.; Wu Kun, X.; Hai Tao, F.; Wen Dan, C. The Research Progress of Exosomes in Osteoarthritis, With Particular Emphasis on the Therapeutic Effect. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2022**, *13*, 685623. [CrossRef]
- 159. Dong, J.; Li, L.; Fang, X.; Zang, M. Exosome-Encapsulated microRNA-127-3p Released from Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Alleviates Osteoarthritis Through Regulating CDH11-Mediated Wnt/β-Catenin Pathway. J. Pain Res. 2021, 14, 297–310. [CrossRef]
- 160. Mao, G.; Hu, S.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, P.; Zhao, X.; Lin, R.; Liao, W.; Kang, Y. Exosomal miR-95-5p regulates chondrogenesis and cartilage degradation via histone deacetylase 2/8. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2018**, *22*, 5354–5366. [CrossRef]
- 161. Yan, L.; Liu, G.; Wu, X. The umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomal lncRNA H19 improves osteochondral activity through miR-29b-3p/FoxO3 axis. *Clin. Transl. Med.* **2021**, *11*, e255. [CrossRef]
- 162. Qiu, M.; Liu, D.; Fu, Q. MiR-129-5p shuttled by human synovial mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes relieves IL-1β induced osteoarthritis via targeting HMGB1. *Life Sci.* **2021**, *269*, 118987. [CrossRef]
- 163. Qi, H.; Liu, D.-P.; Xiao, D.-W.; Tian, D.-C.; Su, Y.-W.; Jin, S.-F. Exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells inhibit mitochondrial dysfunction-induced apoptosis of chondrocytes via p38, ERK, and Akt pathways. *Vitr. Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim.* 2019, 55, 203–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Wang, Z.; Yan, K.; Ge, G.; Zhang, D.; Bai, J.; Guo, X.; Zhou, J.; Xu, T.; Xu, M.; Long, X.; et al. Exosomes derived from miR-155-5poverexpressing synovial mesenchymal stem cells prevent osteoarthritis via enhancing proliferation and migration, attenuating apoptosis, and modulating extracellular matrix secretion in chondrocytes. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* **2021**, *37*, 85–96. [CrossRef]
- 165. Cosenza, S.; Ruiz, M.; Toupet, K.; Jorgensen, C.; Noël, D. Mesenchymal stem cells derived exosomes and microparticles protect cartilage and bone from degradation in osteoarthritis. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 16214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. He, L.; He, T.; Xing, J.; Zhou, Q.; Fan, L.; Liu, C.; Chen, Y.; Wu, D.; Tian, Z.; Liu, B.; et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes protect cartilage damage and relieve knee osteoarthritis pain in a rat model of osteoarthritis. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2020, 11, 276. [CrossRef]
- 167. Qi, X.; Zhang, J.; Yuan, H.; Xu, Z.; Li, Q.; Niu, X.; Hu, B.; Wang, Y.; Li, X. Exosomes Secreted by Human-Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Repair Critical-Sized Bone Defects through Enhanced Angiogenesis and Osteogenesis in Osteoporotic Rats. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2016, 12, 836–849. [CrossRef]
- 168. Kumar, P.; Kandoi, S.; Misra, R.; Vijayalakshmi, S.; Rajagopal, K.; Verma, R.S. The mesenchymal stem cell secretome: A new paradigm towards cell-free therapeutic mode in regenerative medicine. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **2019**, *46*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Moghadasi, S.; Elveny, M.; Rahman, H.S.; Suksatan, W.; Jalil, A.T.; Abdelbasset, W.K.; Yumashev, A.V.; Shariatzadeh, S.; Motavalli, R.; Behzad, F.; et al. A paradigm shift in cell-free approach: The emerging role of MSCs-derived exosomes in regenerative medicine. J. Transl. Med. 2021, 19, 302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 170. Hayflick, L.; Moorhead, P.S. The serial cultivation of human diploid cell strains. Exp. Cell Res. 1961, 25, 585–621. [CrossRef]
- 171. Hayflick, L. The limited in vitro lifetime of human diploid cell strains. Exp. Cell Res. 1965, 37, 614–636. [CrossRef]
- 172. Rodier, F.; Campisi, J. Four faces of cellular senescence. J. Cell Biol. 2011, 192, 547–556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Liu, X.; Wan, M. A tale of the good and bad: Cell senescence in bone homeostasis and disease. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2019, 346, 97–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Xue, W.; Zender, L.; Miething, C.; Dickins, R.A.; Hernando, E.; Krizhanovsky, V.; Cordon-Cardo, C.; Lowe, S.W. Senescence and tumour clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine liver carcinomas. *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 656–660. [CrossRef]
- 175. Iannello, A.; Thompson, T.W.; Ardolino, M.; Lowe, S.W.; Raulet, D.H. p53-dependent chemokine production by senescent tumor cells supports NKG2D-dependent tumor elimination by natural killer cells. J. Exp. Med. 2013, 210, 2057–2069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Kuilman, T.; Michaloglou, C.; Vredeveld, L.C.W.; Douma, S.; van Doorn, R.; Desmet, C.J.; Aarden, L.A.; Mooi, W.J.; Peeper, D.S. Oncogene-induced senescence relayed by an interleukin-dependent inflammatory network. *Cell* **2008**, *133*, 1019–1031. [CrossRef]
- 177. Acosta, J.C.; Banito, A.; Wuestefeld, T.; Georgilis, A.; Janich, P.; Morton, J.P.; Athineos, D.; Kang, T.-W.; Lasitschka, F.; Andrulis, M.; et al. A complex secretory program orchestrated by the inflammasome controls paracrine senescence. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2013, 15, 978–990. [CrossRef]
- 178. Krtolica, A.; Parrinello, S.; Lockett, S.; Desprez, P.-Y.; Campisi, J. Senescent fibroblasts promote epithelial cell growth and tumorigenesis: A link between cancer and aging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 12072–12077. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Kirkland, J.L.; Tchkonia, T. Cellular Senescence: A Translational Perspective. EBioMedicine 2017, 21, 21–28. [CrossRef]

- 180. Song, S.; Lam, E.W.-F.; Tchkonia, T.; Kirkland, J.L.; Sun, Y. Senescent Cells: Emerging Targets for Human Aging and Age-Related Diseases. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2020, *45*, 578–592. [CrossRef]
- 181. Diekman, B.O.; Sessions, G.A.; Collins, J.A.; Knecht, A.K.; Strum, S.L.; Mitin, N.K.; Carlson, C.S.; Loeser, R.F.; Sharpless, N.E. Expression of p16INK4a is a biomarker of chondrocyte aging but does not cause osteoarthritis. *Aging Cell* 2018, 17, e12771. [CrossRef]
- 182. Baker, D.J.; Childs, B.G.; Durik, M.; Wijers, M.E.; Sieben, C.J.; Zhong, J.; Saltness, R.A.; Jeganathan, K.B.; Verzosa, G.C.; Pezeshki, A.; et al. Naturally occurring p16(Ink4a)-positive cells shorten healthy lifespan. *Nature* 2016, 530, 184–189. [CrossRef]
- 183. He, G.; Siddik, Z.H.; Huang, Z.; Wang, R.; Koomen, J.; Kobayashi, R.; Khokhar, A.R.; Kuang, J. Induction of p21 by p53 following DNA damage inhibits both Cdk4 and Cdk2 activities. *Oncogene* 2005, 24, 2929–2943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Romagosa, C.; Simonetti, S.; López-Vicente, L.; Mazo, A.; Lleonart, M.E.; Castellvi, J.; Ramon y Cajal, S. p16Ink4a overexpression in cancer: A tumor suppressor gene associated with senescence and high-grade tumors. *Oncogene* 2011, 30, 2087–2097. [CrossRef]
- 185. Reyes, N.S.; Krasilnikov, M.; Allen, N.C.; Lee, J.Y.; Hyams, B.; Zhou, M.; Ravishankar, S.; Cassandras, M.; Wang, C.; Khan, I.; et al. Sentinel p16INK4a+ cells in the basement membrane form a reparative niche in the lung. *Science* 2022, 378, 192–201. [CrossRef]
- 186. Sharpless, N.E.; Sherr, C.J. Forging a signature of in vivo senescence. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2015, 15, 397–408. [CrossRef]
- 187. Zhu, Y.; Tchkonia, T.; Pirtskhalava, T.; Gower, A.C.; Ding, H.; Giorgadze, N.; Palmer, A.K.; Ikeno, Y.; Hubbard, G.B.; Lenburg, M.; et al. The Achilles' heel of senescent cells: From transcriptome to senolytic drugs. *Aging Cell* **2015**, *14*, 644–658. [CrossRef]
- Kirkland, J.L.; Tchkonia, T.; Zhu, Y.; Niedernhofer, L.J.; Robbins, P.D. The Clinical Potential of Senolytic Drugs. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2017, 65, 2297–2301. [CrossRef]
- 189. Camuzard, O.; Santucci-Darmanin, S.; Carle, G.F.; Pierrefite-Carle, V. Role of autophagy in osteosarcoma. *J. Bone Oncol.* 2019, 16, 100235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 190. Ren, J.; Zhang, Y. Targeting Autophagy in Aging and Aging-Related Cardiovascular Diseases. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2018**, *39*, 1064–1076. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 191. Zhang, Y.; Sowers, J.R.; Ren, J. Targeting autophagy in obesity: From pathophysiology to management. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2018**, 14, 356–376. [CrossRef]
- 192. Yang, Z.J.; Chee, C.E.; Huang, S.; Sinicrope, F.A. The role of autophagy in cancer: Therapeutic implications. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2011**, *10*, 1533–1541. [CrossRef]
- 193. Zhang, Y.; Vasheghani, F.; Li, Y.-H.; Blati, M.; Simeone, K.; Fahmi, H.; Lussier, B.; Roughley, P.; Lagares, D.; Pelletier, J.-P.; et al. Cartilage-specific deletion of mTOR upregulates autophagy and protects mice from osteoarthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 2015, 74, 1432–1440. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. Pal, B.; Endisha, H.; Zhang, Y.; Kapoor, M. mTOR: A Potential Therapeutic Target in Osteoarthritis? *Drugs RD* 2015, *15*, 27–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 195. Chen, J.; Crawford, R.; Xiao, Y. Vertical inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway for the treatment of osteoarthritis. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2013**, *114*, 245–249. [CrossRef]
- 196. Song, B.; Song, H.; Wang, W.; Wang, H.; Peng, H.; Cui, J.; Wang, R.; Huang, H.; Wang, W.; Wang, L. Beclin 1 overexpression inhibits chondrocyte apoptosis and downregulates extracellular matrix metabolism in osteoarthritis. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 2017, 16, 3958–3964. [CrossRef]
- 197. Picard, C.; Azeddine, B.; Moldovan, F.; Martel-Pelletier, J.; Moreau, A. New emerging role of pitx1 transcription factor in osteoarthritis pathogenesis. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 2007, 462, 59–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhao, X.; Huang, P.; Li, G.; Feng, Y.; Zhendong, L.; Zhou, C.; Hu, G.; Xu, Q. Overexpression of Pitx1 attenuates the senescence of chondrocytes from osteoarthritis degeneration cartilage-A self-controlled model for studying the etiology and treatment of osteoarthritis. *Bone* 2020, 131, 115177. [CrossRef]
- 199. Freund, A.; Orjalo, A.V.; Desprez, P.-Y.; Campisi, J. Inflammatory Networks during Cellular Senescence: Causes and Consequences. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2010, *16*, 238–246. [CrossRef]
- Campisi, J. Senescent cells, tumor suppression, and organismal aging: Good citizens, bad neighbors. *Cell* 2005, 120, 513–522. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ben-Porath, I.; Weinberg, R.A. The signals and pathways activating cellular senescence. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2005, 37, 961–976. [CrossRef]
- 202. Chen, Q.M.; Bartholomew, J.C.; Campisi, J.; Acosta, M.; Reagan, J.D.; Ames, B.N. Molecular analysis of H2O2-induced senescentlike growth arrest in normal human fibroblasts: p53 and Rb control G1 arrest but not cell replication. *Biochem. J.* 1998, 332, 43–50. [CrossRef]
- Sitte, N.; Merker, K.; Von Zglinicki, T.; Grune, T.; Davies, K.J. Protein oxidation and degradation during cellular senescence of human BJ fibroblasts: Part I–effects of proliferative senescence. *FASEB J.* 2000, 14, 2495–2502. [CrossRef]
- Wahl, G.M.; Carr, A.M. The evolution of diverse biological responses to DNA damage: Insights from yeast and p53. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2001, 3, E277–E286. [CrossRef]
- 205. Tchkonia, T.; Zhu, Y.; van Deursen, J.; Campisi, J.; Kirkland, J.L. Cellular senescence and the senescent secretory phenotype: Therapeutic opportunities. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2013**, *123*, 966–972. [CrossRef]
- Kirkland, J.L.; Tchkonia, T. Clinical strategies and animal models for developing senolytic agents. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2015, 68, 19–25.
 [CrossRef]

- Baker, D.J.; Wijshake, T.; Tchkonia, T.; LeBrasseur, N.K.; Childs, B.G.; van de Sluis, B.; Kirkland, J.L.; van Deursen, J.M. Clearance of p16Ink4a-positive senescent cells delays ageing-associated disorders. *Nature* 2011, 479, 232–236. [CrossRef]
- 208. Zhu, Y.; Tchkonia, T.; Fuhrmann-Stroissnigg, H.; Dai, H.M.; Ling, Y.Y.; Stout, M.B.; Pirtskhalava, T.; Giorgadze, N.; Johnson, K.O.; Giles, C.B.; et al. Identification of a novel senolytic agent, navitoclax, targeting the Bcl-2 family of anti-apoptotic factors. *Aging Cell* 2016, 15, 428–435. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Y.; Doornebal, E.J.; Pirtskhalava, T.; Giorgadze, N.; Wentworth, M.; Fuhrmann-Stroissnigg, H.; Niedernhofer, L.J.; Robbins, P.D.; Tchkonia, T.; Kirkland, J.L. New agents that target senescent cells: The flavone, fisetin, and the BCL-XL inhibitors, A1331852 and A1155463. *Aging* 2017, *9*, 955–963. [CrossRef]
- 210. Xu, M.; Pirtskhalava, T.; Farr, J.N.; Weigand, B.M.; Palmer, A.K.; Weivoda, M.M.; Inman, C.L.; Ogrodnik, M.B.; Hachfeld, C.M.; Fraser, D.G.; et al. Senolytics Improve Physical Function and Increase Lifespan in Old Age. *Nat. Med.* 2018, 24, 1246–1256. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 211. Kirkland, J.L.; Tchkonia, T. Senolytic drugs: From discovery to translation. J. Intern. Med. 2020, 288, 518–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 212. Chaib, S.; Tchkonia, T.; Kirkland, J.L. Cellular senescence and senolytics: The path to the clinic. *Nat. Med.* **2022**, *28*, 1556–1568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dungan, C.M.; Figueiredo, V.C.; Wen, Y.; VonLehmden, G.L.; Zdunek, C.J.; Thomas, N.T.; Mobley, C.B.; Murach, K.A.; Brightwell, C.R.; Long, D.E.; et al. Senolytic treatment rescues blunted muscle hypertrophy in old mice. *GeroScience* 2022, 44, 1925–1940. [CrossRef]
- Yamaura, K.; Nelson, A.L.; Nishimura, H.; Rutledge, J.C.; Ravuri, S.K.; Bahney, C.; Philippon, M.J.; Huard, J. The effects of fisetin on bone and cartilage: A systematic review. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2022, 185, 106504. [CrossRef]
- 215. Liu, L.; Yue, X.; Sun, Z.; Hambright, W.S.; Feng, Q.; Cui, Y.; Huard, J.; Robbins, P.D.; Wang, Z.; Mu, X. Senolytic elimination of senescent macrophages restores muscle stem cell function in severely dystrophic muscle. *Aging* 2022, 14, 7650–7661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lane, N.; Hsu, B.; Visich, J.; Xie, B.; Khan, A.; Dananberg, J. A phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of senolytic molecule UBX0101 in the treatment of painful knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2021, 29, S52–S53. [CrossRef]
- 217. Johmura, Y.; Yamanaka, T.; Omori, S.; Wang, T.-W.; Sugiura, Y.; Matsumoto, M.; Suzuki, N.; Kumamoto, S.; Yamaguchi, K.; Hatakeyama, S.; et al. Senolysis by glutaminolysis inhibition ameliorates various age-associated disorders. *Science* 2021, 371, 265–270. [CrossRef]
- 218. Efeyan, A.; Ortega-Molina, A.; Velasco-Miguel, S.; Herranz, D.; Vassilev, L.T.; Serrano, M. Induction of p53-dependent senescence by the MDM2 antagonist nutlin-3a in mouse cells of fibroblast origin. *Cancer Res.* **2007**, *67*, 7350–7357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 219. Li, Y.-R.; Li, S.; Lin, C.-C. Effect of resveratrol and pterostilbene on aging and longevity. Biofactors 2018, 44, 69–82. [CrossRef]
- Paultre, K.; Cade, W.; Hernandez, D.; Reynolds, J.; Greif, D.; Best, T.M. Therapeutic effects of turmeric or curcumin extract on pain and function for individuals with knee osteoarthritis: A systematic review. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc. Med.* 2021, 7, e000935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 221. NCT04210986. Senolytic Drugs Attenuate Osteoarthritis-Related Articular Cartilage Degeneration: A Clinical Trial. 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov (accessed on 10 January 2023).
- 222. NCT04770064. Targeting Senescence to Reduce Osteoarthritis Pain and Cartilage Breakdown (ROPE). 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov (accessed on 10 January 2023).
- 223. NCT04815902. The Use of Senolytic and Anti-Fibrotic Agents to Improve the Beneficial Effect of Bone Marrow Stem Cells for Osteoarthritis. 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov (accessed on 10 January 2023).
- 224. NCT03675724. AFFIRM-LITE: A Phase 2 Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study of Alleviation by Fisetin of Frailty, Inflammation, and Related Measures in Older Adults. 2022. Available online: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov (accessed on 10 January 2023).
- 225. Lee, B.-C.; Yu, K.-R. Impact of mesenchymal stem cell senescence on inflammaging. BMB Rep. 2020, 53, 65–73. [CrossRef]
- 226. Malaise, O.; Tachikart, Y.; Constantinides, M.; Mumme, M.; Ferreira-Lopez, R.; Noack, S.; Krettek, C.; Noël, D.; Wang, J.; Jorgensen, C.; et al. Mesenchymal stem cell senescence alleviates their intrinsic and seno-suppressive paracrine properties contributing to osteoarthritis development. *Aging* 2019, *11*, 9128–9146. [CrossRef]
- 227. Wang, B.; Liu, Z.; Chen, V.P.; Wang, L.; Inman, C.L.; Zhou, Y.; Guo, C.; Tchkonia, T.; Rowe, D.W.; Kuchel, G.A.; et al. Transplanting cells from old but not young donors causes physical dysfunction in older recipients. *Aging Cell* 2020, 19, e13106. [CrossRef]
- 228. Miclau, K.; Hambright, W.S.; Huard, J.; Stoddart, M.J.; Bahney, C.S. Cellular expansion of MSCs: Shifting the regenerative potential. *Aging Cell* **2023**, *22*, e13759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 229. Zhou, Y.; Xin, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, B.; Chen, L.; Liu, O.; Rowe, D.W.; Xu, M. Senolytics improve bone forming potential of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells from aged mice. *Npj Regen. Med.* **2021**, *6*, 34. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.